Failures of Poisson Boltzmann to deal with the Nonideal Properties of Ionic Solutions

Bob Eisenberg October 22 2011

I have had months of friendly discussion with many mathematicians concerning the limitations of Poisson Boltzmann theories of ionic solutions. I have discussed the facts and given extensive reference lists in several publications. I have sent many mathematicians copies of the physical chemistry papers documenting these inadequacies. However, many mathematicians insist on saying something to the effect that Poisson Boltzman theory is a good approximation to properties of ionic solutions in biological systems, e.g., including proteins, ion channels and nucleic acids. This is simply untrue. Almost all biology occurs in solutions that are more than 200 mM in concentration, that are mixtures of different monovalent species, and that contain divalents. The concentrations of divalents are physiological regulators of an enormous range of function. Under these conditions, excess free energy is important and physical chemists agree there is no satisfactory model of that excess free energy. Poisson Boltzmann is a particular embarrassment because it leaves out the effects of finite size of ions and those effects are known to be dominant determinants of excess free energy.

The situation is actually much worse than just stated. It is very well known that the concentrations of ions near electrodes, ion channels, binding proteins, nucleic acids, enzyme active sites, most membranes and most proteins are in the molar range, often reaching values beyond 10 molar. Under these conditions, excess free energy dominates the properties of ionic solutions. Excess free energy of this type is not even present in Poisson Boltzmann or PNP theories.

The fact that mathematicians have willfully been ignoring the experimental properties of ionic solutions known for more than fifty years made me realize that if someone did not speak out correctly, we stood risk of having happen in biophysics what has happened in other fields with disastrous consequences: the rejection of an important reality by a large fraction of an academic community because that reality was not mathematically inconvenient.

The general view of ionic solutions is stated in the classical literature by

1) George Stell and C.G. Joslin, The Donnan Equilibrium: A Theoretical Study of the Effects of Interionic Forces. Biophys J, 1986. 50(5): p. 855-859.

"Under physiologically appropriate conditions, we find that it is almost never valid to use Debye-Huckel theory to calculate ionic activities: it is important to take proper account of ion size." 2) Torrie and Valleau Journal of Physical Chemistry, 1982: 86: 3251-3257

"It is immediately apparent that Classical Theory has Broken Down completely. It fails to show [the] qualitative behavior [and] is seriously in Error for quite low concentrations and charges"

"When the counterions are doubly charged ... the classical theory Fails Altogether even for quite low concentrations and charges"

- Fraenkel Molecular Physics, 2010. 108(11): p. 1435 1466 has a fine historical introduction giving the modern view of Poisson Boltzmann and many references supporting this view.
- 4) The two books Zemaitis, et al, and Pytkowicz (see reference list below) are classical compilations of experimental data and theoretical models that attempt to deal with the issues just quoted. Zematis et al, has a discussion of nine different empirical models that attempt to describe non ideal effects.
- 5) Kontogeorgis and Folasis is the most recent compilation of data and theories that I know of.

Here is my view, written as a letter to mathematicians

Dear Mathematical Friends,

I have recently had the sad task of reviewing a fine mathematical paper on linearized Poisson Boltzmann which seems entirely unaware of the view of that equation by the entire community of physical chemists.

It is very sad to have fine mathematicians working in such ignorance of the work of generations of physical chemists.

Here is what I wrote about that work and I attach a a partial set of references (I hasten to immediately apologize if I left out work you prefer or work of your own that contributes importantly to this field. I simply took the references immediately at hand in my reference lists and I know that leaves out many many that I should know about and refer to.)

As ever Bob

The paper is a substantial contribution and the authors have done a fine job of responding to the criticisms except one.

That one in my view is crucial.

The Poisson Boltzmann equation is NOT a good approximation to the properties of ions near DNA at all, and not near the active sites of enzymes, which is where many proteins perform their function.

It is VERY well known that the number density of ions close to DNA is many molar.

It is VERY well known that the number density of ions close to active sites of enzymes, close to ion channels, and close to binding proteins is many molar. In electrochemical cells, used universally in our technology of batteries, as well as the huge electrochemistry industry, concentrations near electrodes is very high.

It is VERY well known that most protein function, and DNA function is sensitive to the concentration of divalents and occurs in solutions containing mixtures of monovalents, calcium, and magnesium.

It is VERY well known that an enormous range of biological function is controlled physiologically by concentrations of calcium ions, in the same sense that an accelerator (gas pedal) controls the speed of a car.

There is no reference I know of in the physical chemistry literature that says that Poisson Boltzmann is a good approximation for (1) mixtures of monovalents of biological concentrations, (2) mixtures including divalents (3) any ionic solution when concentrations are above 500 millimolar.

The authors must not be permitted to continue the myth that linearized PB is successful in describing the properties of proteins or DNA in the natural cellular environment, no matter how long biochemists or mathematicians have believed that myth.

NEAR ion channels (not just in them), NEAR binding proteins (not just in them), NEAR enzymes (not just in them), ion concentrations are far above the few millimolar in which the Poisson Boltzmann equation is adequate in the view of physical chemists. In those regions DIVALENT concentrations are very important. I am unaware of ANY physical chemistry reference that says that Poisson Boltzmann is an adequate approximation when either divalents are present, OR concentrations are in the molar region.

I suggest that the authors say something like "Linearized Poisson Boltzmann has widely been used as an initial approximation to biological salt solutions. Nonetheless, physical chemists do NOT accept it as a reasonable approximation to the properties of solutions with divalents, or with concentrations like those near enzymes, nucleic acids, ion channels, or binding proteins. We believe, however, that it is reasonable to attack the mathematical issues in the linearized domain before the nasty realities of concentrated solutions are dealt with."

The authors have evidently not examined the references I cited previously.

I therefore attach a much larger set of references documenting the treatment of ionic solutions of concentration larger than (say) 100 mM NaCl, and divalents and mixtures. These references represent complete compilations of the experimental data and the theoretical models of such solutions as of the dates of their publication. NONE OF THESE REFERENCES SUPPORT THE USE OF POISSON BOLTZMANN FOR SOLUTIONS OF PURE MONOVALENTS OF CONCENTRATION LARGER THAN (SAY) 100 mM, or of divalents (of any concentration), or of mixtures of monovalents, let alone for the mutlimolar concentrations of ions (in mixtures of monovalents and divalents) found near nucleic acids, ion channels, binding proteins, enzyme active sites, most proteins, membranes and electrodes in electrochemical devices as they are actually used.

The authors should be reminded that ALL physiological solutions are more concentrated than 100 mM, they all contain divalents, and they are all mixtures.

The following reference list is numerous but are still a small subset of what has been done on this subject. Some of the references extend to closely related subjects. I chose only references I happen to have at hand. There are large numbers of papers I have inadvertently ignored, no doubt and some may be very important.

Reference List

- Abbas, Z., E. Ahlberg and S. Nordholm (2009). "Monte Carlo Simulations of Salt Solutions: Exploring the Validity of Primitive Models." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 113(17): 5905-5916.
- Abbas, Z., M. Gunnarsson, E. Ahlberg and S. Nordholm (2002). "Corrected DebyeHuckel Theory of Salt Solutions: Size Asymmetry and Effective Diameters." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 106(6): 1403-1420.
- 3) Barbosa, M. C. and et al. (2000). "A stable local density functional approach to ion-ion correlations." EPL (Europhysics Letters) 52(1): 80.
- Barlow, C. A., Jr. and J. R. Macdonald (1967). Theory of Discreteness of Charge Effects in the Electrolyte Compact Double Layer. Advances in Electrochemistry and Electrochemical Engineering, Volume 6. P. Delahay. New York, Interscience Publishers. VI: 1-199.
- 5) Barthel, J., H. Krienke and W. Kunz (1998). Physical Chemistry of Electrolyte Solutions: Modern Aspects. New York, Springer.
- Boström, M., F. W. Tavares, D. Bratko and B. W. Ninham (2005). "Specific Ion Effects in Solutions of Globular Proteins: Comparison between Analytical Models and Simulation." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 109(51): 24489-24494.
- Cabezas, H. and J. P. O'Connell (1993). "Some uses and misuses of thermodynamic models for dilute liquid solutions." Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 32(11): 2892-2904.
- 8) Che, J., J. Dzubiella, B. Li and J. A. McCammon (2008). "Electrostatic free energy and its variations in implicit solvent models." J Phys Chem B 112(10): 3058-69.
- Chhih, A., O. Bernard, J. M. G. Barthel and L. Blum (1994). "Transport Coefficients and Apparent Charges of Concentrated Electrolyte Solutions: Equations for Practical Use." Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 98: 1516-1525.
- 10) Collins, K. D. (2004). "Ions from the Hofmeister series and osmolytes: effects on proteins in solution and in the crystallization process." Methods 34(3): 300-311.
- Dan, B.-Y., D. Andelman, D. Harries and R. Podgornik (2009). "Beyond standard Poisson– Boltzmann theory: ion-specific interactions in aqueous solutions." Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 21(42): 424106.
- 12) Durand-Vidal, S., J.-P. Simonin and P. Turq (2000). Electrolytes at Interfaces. Boston, Kluwer.
- 13) Durand-Vidal, S., P. Turq, O. Bernard, C. Treiner and L. Blum (1996). "New Perspectives in Transport Phenomena in electrolytes." Physica A 231: 123-143.

- 14) Fawcett, W. R. (2004). Liquids, Solutions, and Interfaces: From Classical Macroscopic Descriptions to Modern Microscopic Details. New York, Oxford University Press.
- 15) Fedorov, M. V. and A. A. Kornyshev (2008). "Ionic Liquid Near a Charged Wall: Structure and Capacitance of Electrical Double Layer." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 112(38): 11868-11872.
- 16) Fraenkel, D. (2010). "Monoprotic Mineral Acids Analyzed by the Smaller-Ion Shell Model of Strong Electrolyte Solutions." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 115(3): 557-568.
- 17) Fraenkel, D. (2010). "Simplified electrostatic model for the thermodynamic excess potentials of binary strong electrolyte solutions with size-dissimilar ions." Molecular Physics 108(11): 1435 - 1466.
- 18) Friedman, H. L. (1962). Ionic Solution Theory. New York, Interscience Publishers.
- 19) Friedman, H. L. (1981). "Electrolyte Solutions at Equilibrium." Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 32(1): 179-204.
- 20) Friedman, H. L. and W. D. T. Dale (1977). Electrolyte solutions at equilibrium. Statistical Mechanics, Part A: Equilibrium Techniques. B. J. Berne. Nwe York, Plenum Press. 1: 85-135: Ch. 3.
- 21) Gee, M. B., N. R. Cox, Y. Jiao, N. Bentenitis, S. Weerasinghe and P. E. Smith (2011). "A Kirkwood-Buff Derived Force Field for Aqueous Alkali Halides." Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation: null-null.
- 22) Grattoni, A., M. Merlo and M. Ferrari (2007). "Osmotic Pressure beyond Concentration Restrictions." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 111(40): 11770-11775.
- 23) Grochowski, P. and J. Trylska (2008). "Continuum molecular electrostatics, salt effects, and counterion binding—A review of the Poisson–Boltzmann theory and its modifications." Biopolymers 89(2): 93-113.
- 24) Hansen, J.-P. and H. Löwen (2000). "Effective Interactions between Electric Double Layers " Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 51(1): 209-242.
- 25) Harned, H. S. and B. B. Owen (1958). The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions. New York, Reinhold Publishing Corporation.
- 26) Howard, J. J., J. S. Perkyns and B. M. Pettitt (2010). "The behavior of ions near a charged wall-dependence on ion size, concentration, and surface charge." J Phys Chem B 114(18): 6074-83.
- 27) Huenenberger, P. H. and M. Reif (2011). Single-Ion Solvation. Cambridge UK, RSC Publishing.
- 28) Ibarra-Armenta, J. G., A. Martin-Molina and M. Quesada-Perez (2009). "Testing a modified model of the Poisson-Boltzmann theory that includes ion size effects through Monte Carlo simulations." Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 11(2): 309-316.
- 29) Joung, I. S. and T. E. Cheatham (2008). "Determination of Alkali and Halide Monovalent Ion Parameters for Use in Explicitly Solvated Biomolecular Simulations." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 112(30): 9020-9041.
- 30) Joung, I. S. and T. E. Cheatham (2009). "Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the Dynamic and Energetic Properties of Alkali and Halide Ions Using Water-Model-Specific Ion Parameters." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 113(40): 13279-13290.
- 31) Jungwirth, P., B. J. Finlayson-Pitts and D. J. Tobias (2006). "Introduction: Structure and Chemistry at Aqueous Interfaces." Chemical Reviews 106(4): 1137-1139.

- Justice, J.-C. (1983). Conductance of Electrolyte Solutions. Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry Volume 5 Thermondynbamic and Transport Properties of Aqueous and Molten Electrolytes. B. E. Conway, J. O. M. Bockris and E. Yaeger. New York, Plenum: 223-338.
- 33) Kalcher, I. and J. Dzubiella (2009). "Structure-thermodynamics relation of electrolyte solutions." J Chem Phys 130(13): 134507.
- 34) Kalcher, I., J. C. F. Schulz and J. Dzubiella (2010). "Electrolytes in a nanometer slabconfinement: Ion-specific structure and solvation forces." J Chem Phys 133(16): 164511-15.
- 35) Kalcher, I., J. C. F. Schulz and J. Dzubiella (2010). "Ion-Specific Excluded-Volume Correlations and Solvation Forces." Physical Review Letters 104(9): 097802.
- 36) Kalyuzhnyi, Y. K., L. Blum, J. Reiscic and G. Stell (2000). "Solution of the associative mean spherical approximation for a multicomponent dimerizing hard-sphere multi-Yukawa fluid." J. Chem. Phys. 113: 1135-1142.
- 37) Kalyuzhnyi, Y. V., V. Vlachy and K. A. Dill (2010). "Aqueous alkali halide solutions: can osmotic coefficients be explained on the basis of the ionic sizes alone?" Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 12(23): 6260-6266.
- 38) Khavrutskii, I. V., J. Dzubiella and J. A. McCammon (2008). "Computing accurate potentials of mean force in electrolyte solutions with the generalized gradient-augmented harmonic Fourier beads method." J Chem Phys 128(4): 044106.
- 39) Kontogeorgis, G. M. and G. K. Folas (2009). Thermodynamic Models for Industrial Applications: From Classical and Advanced Mixing Rules to Association Theories, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 40) Kron, I., S. Marshall, P. May, G. Hefter and E. Königsberger (1995). "The ionic product of water in highly concentrated aqueous electrolyte solutions." Monatshefte für Chemie / Chemical Monthly 126(8): 819-837.
- 41) Kumar, A. and V. S. Patwardhan (1992). "Activity coefficients in mixed aqueous electrolyte solutions with a common ion." AIChE Journal 38(5): 793-796.
- 42) Kunz, W. (2009). Specific Ion Effects. Singapore, World Scientific
- 43) Kunz, W. and R. Neueder (2009). An Attempt at an Overview. Specific Ion Effects. W. Kunz. Singapore, World Scientific 11-54.
- 44) Lee, L. L. (1988). Molecular Thermodynamics of Nonideal Fluids New York, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 45) Lee, L. L. (2008). Molecular Thermodynamics of Electrolyte Solutions. Singapore, World Scientific
- 46) Li, B. (2009). "Continuum electrostatics for ionic solutions with non-uniform ionic sizes." Nonlinearity 22(4): 811.
- 47) Li, B. (2009). "Minimization of Electrostatic Free Energy and the Poisson--Boltzmann Equation for Molecular Solvation with Implicit Solvent." SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 40(6): 2536-2566.
- 48) Loehe, J. R. and M. D. Donohue (1997). "Recent advances in modeling thermodynamic properties of aqueous strong electrolyte systems." AIChE Journal 43(1): 180-195.
- 49) Luo, Y. and B. t. Roux (2009). "Simulation of Osmotic Pressure in Concentrated Aqueous Salt Solutions." The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 1(1): 183-189.

- 50) Macdonald, J. R. and C. A. Barlow, Jr (1962). "Theory of Double Layer Differential Capacitance in Electrolytes." Journal of Chemical Physics 36: 3062-3080.
- 51) Moreira, A. G. and R. R. Netz (2002). "Virial expansion for charged colloids and electrolytes." The European Physical Journal D Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics 21(1): 83-96.
- 52) Patwardhan, V. S. and A. Kumar (1993). "Thermodynamic properties of aqueous solutions of mixed electrolytes: A new mixing rule." AIChE Journal 39(4): 711-714.
- 53) Paul, H. and S. Matthias (2010). "Binary non-additive hard sphere mixtures: fluid demixing, asymptotic decay of correlations and free fluid interfaces." Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 22(32): 325108.
- 54) Petersen, P. B. and R. J. Saykally (2006). "On the Nature of Ions at the Liquid Water Surface." Annual Review of Physical Chemistry 57(1): 333-364.
- 55) Pitzer, K. S. (1991). Activity Coefficients in Electrolyte Solutions. Boca Raton FL USA, CRC Press.
- 56) Pitzer, K. S. (1995). Thermodynamics. New York, McGraw Hill.
- 57) Pitzer, K. S. and J. J. Kim (1974). "Thermodynamics of electrolytes. IV. Activity and osmotic coefficients for mixed electrolytes." Journal of the American Chemical Society 96(18): 5701-5707.
- 58) Pytkowicz, R. M. (1979). Activity Coefficients in Electrolyte Solutions. Boca Raton FL USA, CRC.
- 59) Resibois, P. M. V. (1968). Electrolyte Theory. New York, Harper & Row.
- 60) Robinson, R. A. and R. H. Stokes (1959). Electrolyte Solutions. London, Butterworths Scientific Publications.
- 61) Roger, G. I. M., S. Durand-Vidal, O. Bernard and P. Turq (2009). "Electrical Conductivity of Mixed Electrolytes: Modeling within the Mean Spherical Approximation." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 113: 8670-8674.
- 62) Rutkai, G. b., D. Boda and T. s. Kristóf (2010). "Relating Binding Affinity to Dynamical Selectivity from Dynamic Monte Carlo Simulations of a Model Calcium Channel." The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 1(14): 2179-2184.
- 63) Stell, G. and C. G. Joslin (1986). "The Donnan Equilibrium: A Theoretical Study of the Effects of Interionic Forces." Biophys J 50(5): 855-859.
- 64) Torrie, G. M. and A. Valleau (1982). "Electrical Double Layers: 4. Limitations of the Gouy-Chapman Theory." Journal of Physical Chemistry 86: 3251-3257.
- 65) Vrbka, L., M. Lund, I. Kalcher, J. Dzubiella, R. R. Netz and W. Kunz (2009). "Ion-specific thermodynamics of multicomponent electrolytes: A hybrid HNC/MD approach." J Chem Phys 131(15): 154109-12.
- 66) Vrbka, L., J. Vondrášek, B. Jagoda-Cwiklik, R. Vácha and P. Jungwirth (2006). "Quantification and rationalization of the higher affinity of sodium over potassium to protein surfaces." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103(42): 15440-15444.
- 67) Yu, H., T. W. Whitfield, E. Harder, G. Lamoureux, I. Vorobyov, V. M. Anisimov, A. D. MacKerell and B. t. Roux (2010). "Simulating Monovalent and Divalent Ions in Aqueous Solution Using a Drude Polarizable Force Field." Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 6(3): 774-786.

- 68) Zemaitis, J. F., Jr., D. M. Clark, M. Rafal and N. C. Scrivner (1986). Handbook of Aqueous Electrolyte Thermodynamics. New York, Design Institute for Physical Property Data, American Institute of Chemical Engineers.
- 69) Zhang, C., S. Raugei, B. Eisenberg and P. Carloni (2010). "Molecular Dynamics in Physiological Solutions: Force Fields, Alkali Metal Ions, and Ionic Strength." Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 6(7): 2167-2175.