Poisson Fermi Theory of Ionic Solutions Bob Eisenberg March 17, 2018

Illinois Institute of Technology: Applied Math Rush University: Physiology and Biophysics Chicago



Jinn-Liang Liu discovered role of SATURATION using Fermi Distribution and Yukawa Potential in Theories of Ionic Solutions

We couple the Screened Coulomb (Yukawa) Potential* and Far Field Poisson Electrostatics

*to avoid the Lennard Jones Combining Rules that are Badly defined in Experiments and Simulations of Liquids

Poisson Fermi Formulation

Lennard Jones potentials are troublesome

because they have combining rules that are ill defined in experiments, whether Lorentz-Berthelot or Kong.

Combining parameters are likely to depend on ionic species, concentration and perhaps other variables.

It is dangerous to have a model that depends sensitively on parameters that are unknown experimentally.

Yukawa Screened Coulomb Potential

We use Yukawa potential Y(r - r') to describe Bjerrum/Debye Screening

$$\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \frac{\exp(-|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|/\lambda)}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$
(1)

Yukawa potential Y(r - r') satisfies the differential equation

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$
⁽²⁾

 $Y(\cdot)$ is the lowest order amplitude term in a general expansion of interaction of a pair of fermions The effective dielectric function of $Y(\rho)$ is $exp(-\rho/\lambda)$ λ is the Fermi-Thomas screening wave vector. Definition

LOCAL POTENTIAL

 $\widetilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r})$ is defined by Poisson equation as

$$\varepsilon_{s}\varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{2}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{I}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1}^{K} q_{i} C_{i}(\mathbf{r})$$
(4)

Local Potential and Yukawa interaction must be joined to create a long range global potential to deal with correlations in high field or crowded conditions in which the size and valence of ions and the polarization of water play significant roles.

lons are often crowded where they are important

Local Potential and Yukawa interaction are joined to create a long range Global Potential

Global Potential is needed to deal with Correlations

in high field or crowded conditions in which the size and valence of ions and the polarization of water are important.

Ions are often crowded where they are important:

DNA, ion channels, enzymes, binding proteins, electrodes, batteries, supercapacitors

We introduce a <u>GLOBAL POTENTIAL</u> $\phi(\mathbf{r})$

that is a convolution of Yukawa screened Coulomb potential and the Poisson local potential $ar{m \phi}$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}'$$
(5)

Multiply the Yukawa potential Y(r - r') in its defining differential equation (2) by the local Poisson potential $\tilde{\phi}(r)$ and integrate to smooth the product, reducing the detail (and resolution) of the result.

The smoothed global potential $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ allows easier computation in a differential equation we will now use.



is a convolution of the Yukawa screened Coulomb potential and the local Poisson potential

The global potential is a convolution eq. (5) and also a solution of the differential equation

$$\lambda^2 \nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r})$$
 (6)

 ϕ becomes approximate when we impose a finite domain for computation

See Xie and Volkmer (2015) Comm Computational Physics 13:174-194.

also Hildebrandt et al (2004) Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 108104; Xie, Liu, and Eisenberg, Phys. Rev. E (2016) **94**, 012114; *using* numerical methods in Xie, et al, (2012) 34:B107-B126.

<u>**GLOBAL POTENTIAL**</u> $\phi(\mathbf{r})$

combines Yukawa Screened Coulomb and Poisson Far Field

$$-\varepsilon_{s}\varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{2}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{I}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1}^{K} q_{i} C_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad (4)$$
$$\lambda^{2}\nabla^{2}\phi(\mathbf{r}) + \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad (6)$$

Eq. (4) and (6) give the fourth order equation

$$\lambda^{2} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{4} \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{2} \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{I}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (7)$$

which is best solved as a pair of second order differential equations, *we think* Liu and Eisenberg (2015) Phys Rev E 92: 012711, also <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/011506.005953</u> Liu and Eisenberg (2018) J Chem Phys 148:054501, also <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.03470</u> We move from the potential to **Free energy**

using a functional formulation but not yet dissipation

Free energy formulation with functionals

$$F(C, \phi) = F_{el} (C, \phi) + F_{en}(C), \qquad (8)$$

$$F_{el}(\mathbf{C},\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_I \boldsymbol{\phi} \, d\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho_I L^{-1} \boldsymbol{\phi} \, d\mathbf{r}$$
⁽⁹⁾

$$F_{en}(\mathbf{C}) = k_B T \int \left\{ \sum_{1}^{K} q_i C_i(\mathbf{r}) \left(\ln \frac{C_i(\mathbf{r})}{C_i^B} - 1 \right) + \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{r})}{\nu_0} \left(\ln \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{r})}{\Gamma^B} - 1 \right) \right\} d\mathbf{r}$$
(10)

Here $F_{en}(\mathbf{C})$ is our (saturating) entropy functional embodying the entropy of all species C_i and their steric interactions; $F_{el}(\mathbf{C}, \phi)$ is our electrostatic functional; the sum over index i is

Average Volume
$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu_0 = \frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} \nu_i$$
 (11)

And L^{-1} is the inverse of the fourth order self adjoint positive linear operator *Fourth Order Operator* $L = \lambda^2 \varepsilon_s \varepsilon_0 \nabla^4 \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \varepsilon_s \varepsilon_0 \nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{r})$

It is usually best numerically to rewrite the L operator as a pair of second order operators.

Poisson Fermi Formulation

11

(12)

Taking the <u>variation</u> of $F(\mathbf{C}, \phi)$ at ϕ recovers previous

Crucial Multiscale Equation that links Atomic and Macroscales of Potential

$$\lambda^{2} \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{4} \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \varepsilon_{s} \varepsilon_{0} \nabla^{2} \phi(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{I}(\mathbf{r})$$
⁽¹³⁾

with $\phi(r) = 0$ on the boundary. This equation is converted to two second order differential equations to make numerical treatments easier and more accurate. **Poisson Fermi Formulation**

Saturation Phenomena

Crucial in crowded systems, Near electrodes, DNA, in ion channels, binding proteins, Enzyme active sites, Batteries, Electrodes, Supercapacitors

Ions are often crowded where they are most important

Much less important in homogeneous bulk solutions

Volume in our Hard Sphere Model

Spherical Ions are Species	1, 2, 3 <i>K</i>
Spherical 'Water' is Species	K + 1
Voids* are Species	K + 2



lons + Water Voids

The volume of each sphere $v_i = \frac{4}{3}a_i^3$ where a_i is the radius of the i^{th} species N_i is the total number of spheres in the volume V.

*Voids are needed to fill space and for actual computations, as we shall see, spheres cannot fill space. Leaving out spheres produces contradictions and severe Numerical difficulties

Void Volume Fraction $\Gamma(r)$

is a key measure of crowding^{*} in the Fermi Distribution ⇒ free energy per mole = the activity of voids

$$\Gamma(voids; \mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{V_{K+2}}{V} = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{1}^{K+1} v_i C_i(\mathbf{r})$$
(15)

lons + Water

*Ions are usually crowded where they are important, Near DNA and Electrodes Near and in ion channels, binding proteins, enzyme active sites.

Steric Potential *S*^{*trc*}(**r**)

is Normalized* Activity of Voids§

Key parameter in the Fermi Distribution

$$S^{trc}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \ln \left(\Gamma(\mathbf{r}) / \Gamma^{\text{Bulk}} \right)$$
 (16)

*Normalized with respect to the bulk homogeneous solution Γ^{Bulk} . [§] Ions are usually crowded where they are important, near DNA and Electrodes near and in Ion Channels, Binding proteins, Enzyme Active Sites

$$\Gamma^{\text{Bulk}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1}^{K+1} \nu_i C_i(\text{Bulk}) \qquad \Gamma(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1}^{K+1} \nu_i C_i(\mathbf{r}) \qquad (17)$$

Steric Potential and the Fermi Distribution*

Steric Potential =
$$S^{trc}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \ln \left(\Gamma(\mathbf{r}) / \Gamma^{B} \right)$$

(18)

 $\Gamma^{\rm B}$ = reference potential of bulk

Determines the

Fermi Distribution of Concentrations*

$$\boldsymbol{C}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = \boldsymbol{C}_{i}^{B} \exp\left(-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\nu_{i}}{\nu_{0}}\boldsymbol{S}^{trc}(\mathbf{r})\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \beta_{i} = q_{i}/k_{B} \mathrm{T}. \tag{19}$$

for **lons** i = 1, 2, ..., K. and also **Water** i = K + 1. Water net charge $= q_{K+1} = 0$. *Fermi distribution saturates!

Saturation Phenomena

can be derived from the Free Energy Functionals

Taking the <u>variation</u> of $F(\mathbf{C}, \phi)$ at $C_i(\mathbf{r})$, yields saturating, Fermi like distributions that we like to write in terms of the

Steric Potential = $S^{trc}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ln (\Gamma(\mathbf{r})/\Gamma^{B}); \quad \Gamma^{B} = \text{reference potential of bulk}$

that determines the Fermi Distribution of Concentrations

$$C_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{i}^{B} \exp\left(-\beta_{i}\phi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\nu_{i}}{\nu_{0}}S^{trc}(\mathbf{r})\right) \quad \text{where} \quad \beta_{i} = q_{i}/k_{B}T$$
for all **lons** $i = 1, 2, ..., K$.
and also
for **Water** $i = K + 1$.
Water net charge $= q_{K+1} = 0$

(20)

(21)

Fermi Distribution ↔ Saturation

$$C_i(\mathbf{r}) = C_i^B \exp\left(-\beta_i \phi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\nu_i}{\nu_0} S^{trc}(\mathbf{r})\right)$$
 where β_i

where $\beta_i = q_i/k_B T$

All concentration functions $C_i(\mathbf{r}) < 1/\nu_i$

 $C_i(\mathbf{r})$ cannot exceed the maximum value $1/\nu_i$ for any arbitrary (**or even infinite**) potential

Voids

Spheres cannot fill space

Treatments with water as spheres cannot be computed unless voids are included

Proof Follows

Physiologists[§] give the following **Saturating Distribution** the name 'Boltzmann'

Interdisciplinary Confusion $\frac{Q_{max}}{1+exp(-Q_{max}(V-V_{1/2})/k_BT)}$ Q(V)

§ p.503 of Hodgkin and Huxley. 1952. 'Quantitative description ...' J. Physiol. 117:500-544.

§ Bezanilla, Villalba-Galea J. Gen. Physiol (2013) 142: 575 'Gating charge ... '

> **Boltzmann Distribution*** of Physicists $exp(-Q_{max}(V)/k_BT)$ does NOT Saturate

Fermi Distribution Saturates 7

* Boltzmann. Berkeley 'Lectures on Gas Theory', 1904 (!)

Interdisciplinary Confusion

Proof: System Must Contain Voids

Consider a system without voids, i.e., with $V_{K+2} = 0$

Let's try to fill the volume

(1) with ions 1, 2, 3 … K at concentrations C_i(r) and
 (2) with the single water species K+1

and then use a Fermi Distribution.

We will find a contradiction. We can use a Fermi Distribution only if we include voids. *Proof: System Must Contain Voids*

Consider a system without voids, i.e., with $V_{K+2} = 0$

We will find a contradiction. Fermi Distribution for Spheres Requires Voids

Consider a system without voids,

i.e., with $V_{K+2} = v_{K+2} = 0$

If the system is filled with spheres, with zero voids, then the volume fraction of voids is zero: $\Gamma(voids; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$

$$\Gamma(voids; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{1} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{K+1} v_i C_i(\mathbf{r})}_{\text{lons + Water}}$$
(22)

 $1 = \sum v_i C_i(\mathbf{r})$

(23)

Consider a system without voids,

i.e., with $V_{K+2} = v_{K+2} = 0$

If the system is filled with spheres, with zero voids, then the volume fraction of voids $\Gamma(voids; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^{K+1} v_i C_i(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$



Consider a system without voids,

i.e., with $V_{K+2} = v_{K+2} = 0$

If the system is filled with spheres, without voids, then the volume fraction of voids $\Gamma(voids) = \Gamma(voids; \mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}$ is zero

Steric Potential =
$$S^{trc}(filled with spheres; \mathbf{r}) \stackrel{?}{=} -\infty$$
 (25)

$$C_{i}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{i}^{B} \exp\left(-(q_{i}/k_{B}T)\phi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\nu_{i}}{\nu_{0}}S^{trc}(\mathbf{r})\right) \Longrightarrow C_{i}(\mathbf{r})^{?} = 0$$
⁽²⁶⁾

 $C_i(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ contradicts our original assumption of general $C_i(\mathbf{r})$

Proof Consider a system without voids, i.e., with $V_{K+2} = v_{K+2} = 0$

Conclusion:

We must have voids if we use a Fermi Distribution

But we only need the Total Void Volume,

or Volume Fraction

No other details are needed about the voids

Any Questions ?