The effect of protein dielectric coefficient on the ionic selectivity of a calcium channel
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Calcium-selective ion channels are known to have carboxylate-rich selectivity filters, a common motif that is primarily responsible for their high Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity. Different Ca\(^{2+}\) affinities ranging from micromolar (the L-type Ca channel) to millimolar (the ryanodine receptor channel) are closely related to the different physiological functions of these channels. To understand the physical mechanism for this range of affinities given similar amino acids in their selectivity filters, we use grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations to assess the binding of monovalent and divalent ions in the selectivity filter of a model Ca channel. We use a reduced model where the electrolyte is modeled by hard-sphere ions embedded in a continuum dielectric solvent, while the interior of protein surrounding the channel is allowed to have a dielectric coefficient different from that of the electrolyte. The induced charges that appear on the protein/lumen interface are calculated by the induced charge computation method [Boda et al., Phys. Rev. E 69, 046702 (2004)]. It is shown that decreasing the dielectric coefficient of the protein attracts more cations into the pore because the protein’s carboxyl groups induce negative charges on the dielectric boundary. As the density of the hard-sphere ions increases in the filter, Ca\(^{2+}\) is absorbed into the filter with higher probability than Na\(^+\) because Ca\(^{2+}\) provides twice the charge to neutralize the negative charge of the pore (both structural carboxylate oxygens and induced charges) than Na\(^+\) while occupying about the same space (the charge/space competition mechanism). As a result, Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity is improved an order of magnitude by decreasing the protein dielectric coefficient from 80 to 5. Our results indicate that adjusting the dielectric properties of the protein surrounding the permeation pathway is a possible way for evolution to regulate the Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity of the common four-carboxylate motif. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2212423]

I. INTRODUCTION

Calcium ions control many biological functions by activating molecular switches in the cytoplasm. Therefore, cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations are actively maintained at submicromolar levels, and the molecular switches are activated when Ca\(^{2+}\) enters the cytoplasm from storage sites inside the cell or from the extracellular space. Both of these intracellular and extracellular compartments contain 1–3 mM concentrations of free Ca\(^{2+}\) (i.e., Ca\(^{2+}\) not bound to proteins such as calsequestrin) and both are separated from the cytoplasm by a lipid membrane. Ca\(^{2+}\) crosses these particular membranes through molecular pores formed by channel proteins. When these ion channels open, they selectively conduct Ca\(^{2+}\) and therefore refer to as calcium (Ca) channels. Selectivity for Ca\(^{2+}\) is vital for their biological function because most of the ions nearby are not Ca\(^{2+}\). The most abundant other ions are Na\(^+\) (in the extracellular space) and K\(^+\) (inside the cell and its compartments), typically both at concentrations of approxi-
mately 150 mM. To conduct Ca\(^{2+}\), Ca channels must overcome a 100:1 unfavorable concentration ratio of monovalent ions to Ca\(^{2+}\).

Different Ca channels conduct different amounts of Ca\(^{2+}\) under identical conditions. For example, in single-channel recordings of current/voltage curves with 100 mM Na\(^+\) or K\(^+\) on both sides of the membrane, a few micromolars of added Ca\(^{2+}\) is enough to reduce the monovalent current by half (compared to the current in 0 Ca\(^{2+}\)) in the \(L\)-type Ca channel. On the other hand, it takes 1000 times as much Ca\(^{2+}\) (a few millimolars) to have the same effect in the ryanodine receptor (RyR) Ca channel.\(^1\) Although it is not practical to experimentally measure the different ionic compositions of the \(L\)-type or RyR currents, the measureable change in the net current as bath Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration increases from zero indicates that Ca\(^{2+}\) current increases as well. This change in the ionic components of current must reflect changing channel occupancies of Ca\(^{2+}\) and, for example, Na\(^+\). Here we use equilibrium Monte Carlo (MC) simulations to study channel occupancy (as bath Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration increases) to assess the “affinity” of our model channel for Ca\(^{2+}\).

The different selectivities of the \(L\)-type Ca channel and RyR for Ca\(^{2+}\) are important for the different physiological functions of these channels. For example, the \(L\)-type Ca channel of cardiac and skeletal muscle controls Ca\(^{2+}\) movement from the extracellular compartment. The action of the \(L\)-type channel opens RyR that controls the release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores. This large release of Ca\(^{2+}\) over several milliseconds initiates muscle contraction. The enormous selectivity of \(L\)-type Ca channels allows Ca\(^{2+}\) to dominate in the pore and thereby preclude—in effect “block”—the flux of monovalent ions such as Na\(^+\) through the \(L\)-type channel under physiological conditions.\(^2\) On the other hand, a model of ion permeation through RyR (Ref. 3) has shown that the weaker selectivity of RyR allows conduction of both Ca\(^{2+}\) and K\(^+\) under the same conditions. Conduction of Ca\(^{2+}\) out of the intracellular stores with simultaneous conduction of K\(^+\) in the opposite direction may be one way for RyR to keep the electrostatic potential across the channel near zero and thus sustain Ca\(^{2+}\) release over several milliseconds.\(^4\)

Mutation studies on both the \(L\)-type Ca channel and RyR have identified the amino acids primarily responsible for the Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity of these channels. In the \(L\)-type Ca channel, four glutamates (the EEEE locus) were found essential for selectivity,\(^5–7\) while in RyR, four aspartates were identified that give RyR a DDDD locus.\(^8\) (In RyR, two other amino acids—one glutamate and one aspartate—also affect Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity, but to a lesser extent.\(^9,10\)) These glutamates and aspartates are similar amino acids with a carboxylic group (COO\(^-\)) at the end of the side chain. Therefore, both the \(L\)-type Ca channel and RyR have carboxylate-rich selectivity filters, but very different Ca\(^{2+}\) versus monovalent selectivities. This paper addresses how similar selectivity filters can produce significantly different Ca\(^{2+}\) affinities by examining how the polarization properties of the protein affect Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity.

Carboxylate-rich loci have also been observed in proteins other than ion channels. Many Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective proteins have Ca\(^{2+}\) binding sites in cavities lined by oxygen atoms\(^11\) from carboxylate groups of amino acids. In addition to carboxylate groups, in the EF-hand motif observed in many Ca\(^{2+}\) binding proteins,\(^12\) oxygens of hydroxyl or carbonyl groups and a water molecule are also involved in coordinating Ca\(^{2+}\). Like Ca channels, proteins with EF-hand motifs (for which crystallographic information exists\(^13\)) have different Ca\(^{2+}\) affinities.\(^12\)

The nature of the high Ca\(^{2+}\) affinity of the \(L\)-type Ca channel is a matter of debate.\(^14–24\) In order to quantify the various energy terms, Nonner and Eisenberg used an extended Poisson-Nernst-Planck (PNP) description of \(L\)-type Ca channels to estimate the excess chemical potentials needed to produce the experimentally observed specificities.\(^22\) Subsequent calculations using only bulk electrolyte theories,\(^18,21\) showed that the excess chemical potentials needed to produce selectivity could arise from simple physical effects. Correlations produced by the finite diameter of the ions accounted for most of the excess free energy producing selectivity; no other chemical forces were necessary. We have also shown that such calculations with bulk solutions are qualitatively (and often quantitatively) accurate when compared to results computed in confining channel geometries.\(^25\) The reduced model of the Ca channel that was developed from this theoretical work was reexamined using MC simulations, similar to the simulations in this paper.\(^16,17,20\)

The results of all these works led to the hypothesis that Ca channel selectivity is produced, to first order, by a competition of charged ions for room in a crowded space [the charge/space competition (CSC) mechanism]. In recent years, CSC has been successfully tested in several experimental situations: (1) by engineering Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective channels through modification of the OmpF porin;\(^20,27\) (2) by conferring Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity to pores in abiotic plastic membranes;\(^28\) and (3) by reproducing—and predicting—a wide range of experimental data from RyR with alkali metal and alkali earth cations\(^3\) using a CSC-based theory that combined PNP and density functional theory (DFT) of fluids.\(^29,30\) The success of this RyR modeling supports both the CSC mechanism and the idea that the movement of finite size ions through the pore can be described by the basic equations of electrodiffusion (PNP) without additional specific chemical forces.

Here we continue our study of model Ca channels with equilibrium calculations of channel occupancy. To make the complex link between occupancy and experimentally measurable currents requires a theory of ion permeation, for example, PNP/DFT (Refs. 29 and 30) or Brownian dynamics.\(^14,15,19,23,24\) However, studying only ion accumulation in a channel at equilibrium reduces the complexity of the problem and allows us to use the grand canonical (GC) ensemble to simulate micromolar Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations.

In our study, we determine the binding affinity of our model Ca channel as the dielectric properties of the protein are changed. We show that changes in the dielectric environment can shift Ca\(^{2+}\) affinities from the millimolar range into the micromolar range. This suggests that adjusting the dielectric environment of the surrounding protein is a possible
tool that allows evolution to regulate the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} specificity of the common carboxylate motif, although we do not believe it to be the only such tool.

Dielectric properties of channel walls have previously been considered,\textsuperscript{31–35} most recently by Chung \textit{et al.} in Brownian dynamics studies of ion conduction through Ca channels.\textsuperscript{14,15,19,23,24} The lowest bath concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} tested in their simulations was 18 mM (corresponding to one Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the system). Affinity in the micromolar range of bath Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration was estimated by an extrapolation over a range of 10\textsuperscript{4}, from 18 to 0.001 mM based on kinetics. Here, affinity in the micromolar range was simulated directly with bath Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations from 10 to 0.012 mM—without extrapolation—using the GC ensemble.

The dielectric inhomogeneity that appears at the boundary of the electrolyte solution and the protein raises substantial numerical difficulties regarding both the accuracy and efficiency of the method used to calculate the electrostatics. With regard to accuracy, the dependence of results on the resolution of the numerical calculations and problems due to curved dielectric boundaries had to be checked, while with regard to efficiency, the electrostatic energy had to be updated in every simulation step. We deal with these difficulties by using the induced charge computation (ICC) method.\textsuperscript{30} We discuss the method and show its accuracy in the channel geometry considered in this work.

II. MODEL

We simulate a Ca channel containing the four-carboxylate motif and ions of an electrolyte bath in a reduced model. Figure 1(a) shows a central cross section of the simulation cell; the three-dimensional (3D) geometry is obtained by rotating one-half of Fig. 1(a) around the axis defined by $r=0$ [Fig. 1(b)]. There is also symmetry with respect to the plane $z=0$ that allows us to average results obtained in corresponding positions of both half-cells. The protein forming the wall of the aqueous pore and the cell membrane surrounding the protein are represented as a region that is bounded by hard walls. The protein surface forms a sharp dielectric boundary that encloses a region of (varied) dielectric coefficient $\varepsilon$ and separates it from the uniform dielectric in the rest of the cell (assigned the dielectric coefficient 80, a value characteristic of aqueous solutions). We use a dielectric coefficient of 80 (rather than 2) for the membrane region to reduce the computational effort for the electrostatics; controls showed no significant effect on the results of interest (see Fig. 1 of the supplementary material).\textsuperscript{37}

The four carboxylate groups of the protein channel are modeled as eight half-charged anions with a radius of 1.4 Å that are restricted to the cylindrical part of the pore (radius $R=4.5$ Å and length $H=10$ Å) but are free to move within this region. These “oxygen ions” are confined within the cylindrical pore region ($|z| \leq 3.6$ Å) where they are not allowed to overlap with the wall of the pore ($r \leq 3.1$ Å).

Ions (Na\textsuperscript{+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and Cl\textsuperscript{-}) are modeled as charged hard spheres ($r_{Na}=1$ Å, $r_{Ca}=0.99$ Å, and $r_{Cl}=1.81$ Å) and are allowed to distribute within the “solution” region, which includes the pore and the two baths. The solvent is represented as a uniform dielectric with a dielectric coefficient of 80. Ions are confined to the simulation cell by hard walls, but CaCl\textsubscript{2} salt can be exchanged against a large reservoir of a chosen chemical potential, as detailed below. The dimensions of the bath regions are large enough to allow the formation of bulklike electrolytes in the centers of the baths.
The aqueous dielectric extends into the space outside the cell. The simulation includes a single, rather than periodically replicated, simulation cell.

III. METHODS

A. Monte Carlo simulations

We use the standard Metropolis algorithm\(^{38-40}\) in a mixed ensemble. The volume of the simulation cell \(V\) and the temperature \(T=298\,\text{K}\) are constant, the number of \(\text{Na}^+\) is fixed, and the numbers of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) are allowed to fluctuate as in a grand canonical ensemble. Three kinds of MC steps are used.

1. Simple particle displacement. We attempt to displace a randomly chosen ion to a randomly chosen position in two ways: (a) within a fixed neighborhood of its original position (useful in sampling high-density regions like the pore) or (b) anywhere in the simulation cell (useful in sampling low-density regions like the bath). In both cases, the acceptance probability is \(p=\min[1, \exp(-\Delta U/kT)]\), where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant and \(\Delta U\) is the energy change of the system in the MC step.

2. Biased particle displacement between pore and bath. When only simple displacements are allowed, ions rarely move between the pore and the baths because (1) a particle rarely attempts to \textit{leave} the pore because the number of particles in the pore is small, and (2) a particle rarely attempts to \textit{enter} the pore because the volume of the pore is small. To improve sampling and accelerate convergence of the average number of different ions in the pore, we move a randomly chosen ion located in the pore to the bath, or vice versa. The bias inherent to this kind of displacement is accounted for by the acceptance probability

\[
p^{\text{bias}} = \min\left[1, \frac{V_{\text{new}}}{V_{\text{old}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta U}{kT}\right)\right],
\]

where \(V_{\text{new}}\) and \(V_{\text{old}}\) are the volumes of the two compartments between which the ion is moved.\(^{16}\)

3. Particle insertion/deletion. Because we wish to study \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) absorption from a very dilute \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) bath, we equilibrate \(\text{CaCl}_2\) between the simulation cell and a reservoir of given chemical potential. Following the grand canonical method of Ref. 41, we insert 1 \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and 2 \(\text{Cl}^-\) at random positions in the simulation cell or we delete 1 \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and 2 \(\text{Cl}^-\) that are randomly chosen from the simulation cell, subject to the acceptance probability

\[
p^{\text{GC}} = \min\left[1, \left(\frac{N_{\text{Ca}}!N_{\text{Cl}}!}{(N_{\text{Ca}}+n)!(N_{\text{Cl}}+2n)!}\right)^n \exp\left(nB - \frac{\Delta U}{kT}\right)\right],
\]

with

\[
B = \mu_{\text{Ca}} + 2\mu_{\text{Cl}} + \ln\frac{V_{\text{Ca}}}{\lambda_{\text{Ca}}} + 2 \ln\frac{V_{\text{Cl}}}{\lambda_{\text{Cl}}},
\]

and

\[
\lambda_i = \frac{h}{(2\pi m_i kT)^{1/2}}.
\]

\(N_{\text{Ca}}\) and \(N_{\text{Cl}}\) are the numbers of the ions in the cell before the operation, \(\mu_i\) is the chemical potential, and \(m_i\) is the mass of the ionic species \(i\). \(V_{\text{Ca}}\) and \(V_{\text{Cl}}\) are the volumes of the cell region accessible to the respective ionic species. The value of \(n\) is 1 for insertion and −1 for deletion. Choosing a value for \(B\) uniquely defines the grand canonical step and the average bath \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) concentration.

Both insertion and deletion of \(\text{CaCl}_2\) are attempted in 25% of the trials. In the other half of the trials, an ionic species is chosen at random and simple or biased displacements are attempted with equal weights. Trials are partitioned this way to speed up convergence; the partitioning does not affect the final result of the MC simulation.\(^{38-40}\) Only simple displacements are attempted for the structural oxygen ions that are confined within the pore cylinder.

The purpose of the simulations was to determine the pore’s absorption of ions from a bath with 0.1M \(\text{NaCl}\) and a variable amount of added \(\text{CaCl}_2\) for different dielectric coefficients \(e\) of the protein (2, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80). Appropriate cell dimensions were determined in preliminary simulations. A cell containing 300 \(\text{Na}^+\) in a cylinder 75 Å in radius and 320 Å in length gave nearly identical results as cells containing 200 or 400 \(\text{Na}^+\) in appropriate volumes (Fig. 3 of the supplementary material\(^{37}\); therefore, the cell with 300 \(\text{Na}^+\) was used in production runs. The concentration of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) in the baths was varied between 12 \(\mu\text{M}\) and 10 mM by varying the value of the parameter \(B\) in Eq. (2). In the absence of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), canonical simulations were done to determine the absorption of \(\text{Na}^+\) from the pure 0.1M \(\text{NaCl}\) bath. The statistical errors indicated in graphs are the standard deviations of 20–99 runs starting from different seeds. Each run included 6 × 10\(^8\)–9 × 10\(^8\) MC trials.

B. Electrostatics

The simulations involve the electrostatic energy of a system whose source charge with density \(\rho(r)\) is embedded in nonuniform polarizable matter. The electrical field \(\mathbf{E}\) including the polarization \(\mathbf{P}\) is described by Poisson’s equation,

\[
e_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(r) = \rho(r) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(r).
\]

In our reduced model of the \(\text{Ca}\) channel, we describe the polarization by the linear constitutive relation

\[
\mathbf{P}(r) = (\epsilon(r) - 1)\epsilon_0 \mathbf{E}(r),
\]

where \(\epsilon(r)\) is the location-dependent dielectric coefficient. Using Eqs. (5) and (6), the polarization charge density \(h(r)\) can be expressed as

\[
h(r) = \frac{1 - \epsilon(r)}{\epsilon(r)} \rho(r) - \epsilon_0 \nabla \epsilon(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}(r).
\]

The first term of the right-hand side represents the charge induced on the surface of an infinitesimal volume element by the source charge contained in this volume element, and the second term represents the charge induced in the volume.
element by the electric field where the dielectric is spatially nonuniform.

In our simulations, the source charge is a set of point charges (located at the center of hard-sphere ions) so \( \rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum q_k \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_k) \), where \( q_k \) is the charge of the \( k \)th point charge located at \( \mathbf{r}_k \). Furthermore, the dielectrics in our simulations form regions of constant dielectric coefficient separated by a sharp boundary surface \( B \). Hence, charge is only induced on the infinitesimal surface of each (point) source charge \( (h(\mathbf{r}_k)) \) and on the boundary surface \( B \) \((h(s))\).

\[
h(\mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_k)h(\mathbf{r}_k) + \delta(\mathbf{r} - s)h(s)
\]

where \( s \) is any location on \( B \), \( \Delta \varepsilon(s) \) is the change of dielectric constant in the normal direction \( \mathbf{n}(s) \) of the dielectric boundary, and \( \bar{\varepsilon}(s) \) is the mean of the dielectric coefficients at the boundary location. By Coulomb’s law and superposition, the electric field at the boundary is

\[
\mathbf{E}(s) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_{B} \frac{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}'}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}'|^3} h(\mathbf{s}')d\mathbf{s}' + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \sum_k \frac{q_k}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}_k)} \frac{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k|^3}.
\]

Inserting Eq. (9) into Eq. (8) yields the integral equation for \( h(s) \),

\[
h(s) + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon(s)}{4\pi\bar{\varepsilon}(s)} \mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \int_{B} \frac{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}'}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}'|^3} h(\mathbf{s}')d\mathbf{s}'
\]

\[
= -\frac{\Delta \varepsilon(s)}{4\pi\bar{\varepsilon}(s)} \mathbf{n}(s) \cdot \sum_k \frac{q_k}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}_k)} \frac{\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k|^3}.
\]

When the integral equation in \( h(s) \) is solved on the two-dimensional boundary \( B \), all source and induced charges of the system are known and the electrical field at any location can be computed using Coulomb’s law and superposition. This method of determining the electrical field is computationally more efficient than solving Poisson’s equation in three dimensions.

This ICC method has been derived previously from the variational approach of Allen et al. Integral equation methods have been used, e.g., in work computing apparent surface charges (ASC) in polarizable continuum models (PCM) of solvation of macromolecules. Reducing the surface integral equation to a system of linear equations yields the boundary element method (BEM) also used by Green and Lu and Lu and Green in their simulations of ion channels.

In our simulations, ions (source charges) move within a uniform region of dielectric (the pore lumen and bath) but do not move between regions with different dielectrics. The part of the electrostatic energy that varies due to such a relocation of a source charge is

\[
U_{ES} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{q_k}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_{B} \frac{\mathbf{q}_j}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|} + \sum_\beta \frac{\mu_\beta}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k|}.
\]

1. Discretization of the dielectric boundary

The simulation of the ion channel system involves dielectric boundaries that are curved (Fig. 1). In evaluating the surface integral of Eq. (10), the surface curvature and the spatial variation of the surface charge create numerical difficulties, and the resulting algorithms and codes have to be checked carefully for accuracy and efficiency in our experience. We discretize the dielectric boundary surface as a set of two-dimensional boundary elements called “tiles” \( \mathcal{B}_a \), each generally nonuniform size. The charge induced on each tile \( h_a \) is approximated as uniform over the tile and is computed from the field strength acting in the normal direction \( \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(s_a) \) at the center of charge \( s_a \) of the tile’s area \( a_a \).

Discretizing Eq. (10) this way yields the system of linear equations

\[
\sum_{\beta} h_{\beta} \left[ \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_a}{4\pi\bar{\varepsilon}_a I_{\alpha\beta}} \right] = -\frac{\Delta \varepsilon_a}{4\pi\bar{\varepsilon}_a} \sum_k \frac{q_k (s_a - \mathbf{r}_k)}{|s_a - \mathbf{r}_k|^3} \cdot \mathbf{n}_a,
\]

with

\[
I_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \frac{(s_a - \mathbf{s}')}{|s_a - \mathbf{s}'|^3} d\mathbf{s}',
\]

where \( \Delta \varepsilon_a = \varepsilon(\mathbf{s}_a) \), \( \bar{\varepsilon}_a = \bar{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{s}_a) \), and \( \delta_{\alpha\beta} \) is the Kronecker delta function. These equations can be written in matrix notation as

\[
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{c},
\]

where each element of the matrix \( \Lambda \) is given by the expression in square brackets in Eq. (12), each element of the column vector \( \mathbf{h} \) is given by \( h_\beta \) and each element of the column vector \( \mathbf{c} \) is given by the right-hand side of Eq. (12). For a given configuration of source charges, the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is known. Hence, the system can be solved for the induced charge densities \( \mathbf{h} \). Since the matrix \( \Lambda \) is dense, we use LU decomposition. This decomposition becomes part of the simulation overhead because \( \Lambda \) does not change when source charges are moved in the cell. The system can be solved for varied positions (and numbers) of the source charges by backsubstitution.

The discretized formula for the electrostatic energy is

\[
U_{ES} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \frac{q_k}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_{B} \frac{\mathbf{q}_j}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|} + \sum_\beta \mu_\beta |\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k|,
\]

with

\[
J_\beta = \int_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \frac{d\mathbf{s}}{|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{r}_k|}.
\]
2. Choosing discretization parameters

The accuracy of the boundary discretization depends on both the choice of tile size and on the method of evaluation of the integrals $I_{\alpha\beta}$ and $J_\beta$. The tile size needs to be chosen small enough so that the electric field (and hence induced charge density) are sufficiently uniform within the area of the tile. The integration method is particularly important when the surface is curved. Then, charge induced on the same tile contributes to the normal electric field in the center of the tile, and these contributions are large because of the short distances. Nearby charge can induce charge on a tile as well. We have determined the tile size and evaluated different approximations to the integrals using the example of a point charge eccentrically located inside a dielectric sphere (Fig. 2). This example involves surface curvature and inhomogeneity of induced charge. Both are important. An analytical solution in terms of Legendre polynomials is known. We consider a sphere radius ($5 \, \text{Å}$) and dielectric coefficients (80 and 2) that are relevant for our simulations. Figure 3 plots analytical and numerically computed potentials for a case in which the source charge is located 1 Å from the boundary. The potential is that generated by the charge induced on the sphere, along the straight line connecting source charge and sphere center (Fig. 2). The interaction of the source charge with its own induced charge is given by the potential at the position $r=4 \, \text{Å}$.

In a first approximation to the integrals $I_{\alpha\beta}$ and $J_\beta$, the surface charge of the tile is represented as a point charge of magnitude $h_{\alpha\beta}$, located at the center of the tile area. Furthermore, tiles are assumed to be flat so that $I_{\alpha\alpha}=0$. We call this the point charge (PC) approximation. In a second approximation, the tile is divided into $n \times n$ subtiles, $n=10$ was used to compute $I_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$) and $n=20$ was used to compute $I_{\alpha\alpha}$. These follow the curvature of the tile and are assigned the same uniform induced charge density of the larger tile. The method of subtiling is detailed for the generalized cylinder geometry in the supplementary material. In this method, $I_{\alpha\alpha} \neq 0$ contributes a substantial term due to surface curvature. We call the second method the surface charge (SC) approximation.

The potential curves plotted in Fig. 3 were obtained using these methods in different combinations. The label SC/PC indicates that the SC method was used in evaluating $I_{\alpha\alpha}$ and the PC method in both $I_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\beta \neq \alpha$) and $J_\beta$. The potential computed with PC/PC/PC strongly deviates from the analytical result even though the spherical boundary was discretized into a particularly large number of tiles (2048). The SC/SC/SC method yields far more accurate results with a discretization of 512 tiles; it is more accurate than PC/PC/PC even when the number of tiles is reduced from 2048 tiles to 128. SC/SC/SC with 2048 tiles is indistinguishable from the analytic curve. SC/SC/PC yields accurate potentials up to positions less than 1 Å away from the dielectric boundary. Since ions are excluded from this region in our simulations, we use the SC/SC/PC method in production runs. Using the PC method in the computations of energy avoids additional computational cost in evaluating $J_\beta$ whenever an ion is moved in the simulation.

Finally, we note that SC/PC/PC produces a larger error than SC/SC/PC. Hence, using the SC method is essential in evaluating $I_{\alpha\alpha}$, but using the SC method in evaluating $I_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\beta \neq \alpha$) still gives a substantial reduction of error compared to the PC method. Correction for boundary curvature have been devised in many implementations of the BEM to consider the effects of charge induced on the same surface element $I_{\alpha\beta}$ (Refs. 23, 47, 49, 53, and 62) (see also the reviews). Our approximation is based on direct numerical integration rather than analytical corrections based on approximations. We find it necessary to apply the numerical integration also to compute charges induced by the field of other surface elements.

3. Tests of numerical accuracy

No analytical solution is known for the electrostatics of the system that we simulate (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, an independent test of accuracy is possible using Gauss’s law. The dielectric domain of the protein in our simulations contains no source charges. By Gauss’s law, the total charge induced...
by ions on the closed surface of the protein must equal zero. Figure 4 plots computed total surface charges of the protein as a function of the inverse number of tiles used in the discretization of the boundary. The charge on the boundary was induced by a single source charge ($1e$) placed at five different test locations, as shown by the inset of Fig. 4.

In a second kind of test, MC runs with the actual simulation cell (Fig. 1) were done using discretizations of 540, 690, and 1462 tiles (Fig. 2 of supplementary material). Concentration profiles were virtually indistinguishable for all choices. Production runs were with the discretization of 690 tiles. The dimension of these tiles was chosen smallest for the wall of the pore ($\approx 1 \text{ Å}$) and gradually increased to $\approx 5 \text{ Å}$ in the periphery of the protein [see Fig. 1(b)]. This choice of discretization explains why the errors in the total induced charge seen in Fig. 4 have little effect on the simulation results (see Fig. 2 of supplementary material). Errors are mainly due to the coarser discretization of boundary regions far from the pore, which has small effects on the ions in the space of interest, that is, in and near the pore.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The main results of the simulations are the ionic concentration profiles. We first consider whether variation of the protein’s dielectric has qualitative effects on the packing of ions and structural charges in the pore. The longitudinal, cross sectionally averaged profiles of Ca$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, and oxygen ions for different dielectric coefficients are plotted in Fig. 5. The structural charges do not rearrange over the range $2 \leq \varepsilon \leq 80$ and the mobile ions also distribute in a stable pattern as $\varepsilon$ is varied. The dielectric coefficient, however, controls the absolute concentrations of the mobile ions. Although both Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ are strongly absorbed into the pore, Ca$^{2+}$ is absorbed over Na$^+$ as the dielectric coefficient is reduced. Unlike Ca$^{2+}$, Na$^+$ absorption increases more strongly near the pore mouths than at the pore center.

The radial distributions of the mobile and structural ions also only undergo gradual quantitative variations as the dielectric coefficient is varied. Figure 6 shows concentration profiles in both the axial and radial dimensions of the pore for $\varepsilon=10$. The oxygen ions dwell near the pore walls, except at the ends of the pore, where they spread more evenly over the cross section. The central space of the pore is occupied by Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ that are screened in this way by a shell of oxygen ions. Ca$^{2+}$ always packs near the pore axis, whereas Na$^+$ is more spread out over the cross section. The same pattern is seen when $\varepsilon=80$ (not shown). Overall, the packing of the particles in the pore is not qualitatively changed by varying the protein dielectric. It appears that the presence of the dielectric boundary more or less enhances a pattern of packing that is already stable due to screening and excluded-volume interactions among the charged particles in the pore.

To present our simulation results for different $\varepsilon$ in a concise way, we distill the concentration profiles into a single number, the occupancy of an ionic species. For each ionic species, the affinity of the channel for that species is characterized by its occupancy, while the selectivity is characterized by the relative occupancies of the two ionic species. For this we have to define the region of the pore $|z| \leq \Delta H/2$ over which we integrate the ionic profiles to calculate the average number of each ionic species. Figure 5 shows that Ca$^{2+}$ accumulates in the center of the pore, while Na$^+$ accumulates both in the center of the pore and in the atria. Therefore the choice of $\Delta H$ will affect the calculated occupancy. One

---

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Sum rule test based on Gauss’s law. An elementary point charge is placed at various locations (see inset) in or near the pore of the simulation cell [compare Fig. 1(a)]. Points 1, 3, and 5 are on the centerline, while points 2 and 4 are 1 Å from the pore wall. Points 1 and 2 are in the middle in the pore, while points 3 and 4 are at the entrance of the pore. Point 5 is in the solution near the pore. The charge induced on the protein surface has been integrated and plotted vs the inverse number of tiles used in the surface discretization. The exact result from Gauss’s law is that the charge induced on the protein is zero because the protein region does not enclose any source charges (Ref. 61).

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Longitudinal packing of mobile and structural ions in the pore. The concentration profiles are averaged over the cross section. The bath contains $8.6 \times 10^{-5} M$ CaCl$_2$ and 0.1 M NaCl.
choice is the whole length of the cylindrical pore ($\Delta H = 10\,\text{Å}$). We also consider the central region of the pore ($\Delta H = 4.2\,\text{Å}$) where $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ accumulates more favorably than $\text{Na}^+$ as $\varepsilon$ is decreased. Figure 7 shows the average number of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$ accumulated in the whole pore cylinder ($10\,\text{Å}$ long) and in the central pore region ($4.2\,\text{Å}$ long) for two fixed $\text{CaCl}_2$ concentrations as functions of the dielectric coefficient of the protein. The accumulations in the two regions are similar for $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, which is concentrated in the central region. The accumulations of $\text{Na}^+$ differ more substantially between the two regions because $\text{Na}^+$ also accumulates in the pore region outside the central region.

The competitive accumulation of counterions in the charged pore defines the selectivity of the system, a measure of immediate biological interest. Figure 8 shows, for each protein dielectric coefficient that was tested, the number of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$ accumulated in the pore cylinder ($\Delta H = 10\,\text{Å}$) from baths containing $0.1\,\text{M NaCl}$ and a varied concentration of $\text{CaCl}_2$ (curves calculated with $\Delta H = 4.2\,\text{Å}$ are seen in Fig. 4 of the supplementary material). Reducing the dielectric coefficient of the protein enhances the accumulations of both ions, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$. The bath $\text{CaCl}_2$ concentration needed for the physiologically important accumulation of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ is reduced when the dielectric coefficient of the protein is reduced; the affinity of the channel for $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ increases. As a measure of the $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{Na}^+$ selectivity in this accumulation, we consider the bath $\text{CaCl}_2$ concentration at which equal numbers of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$ are accumulated in the whole pore cylinder ($\Delta H = 10\,\text{Å}$) and the central region of the pore cylinder ($\Delta H = 4.2\,\text{Å}$).

This characteristic bath concentration of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ is reduced when the dielectric coefficient is varied from 80 to smaller values and passes through a minimum indicating maximal selectivity whose position depends on the size of the pore region where accumulation is assessed (Fig. 9). By this measure, $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ selectivity in the central section of the model pore ($\Delta H = 4.2\,\text{Å}$) can be increased by about an order of magnitude (from 400 to 60 $\mu\text{M}$) by reducing the dielectric coefficient of the protein. Calculating occupancy in both the center of the pore and in the entire pore, the reduction of selectivity occurring at small dielectric coefficients reflects saturation of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ occupancy. To balance the increasing amount of induced charge on the pore wall as $\varepsilon$ is decreased below 10,
more Na$^+$ is absorbed in the channel producing the turnover in selectivity when $\epsilon < 20$. This additional Na$^+$ accumulates chiefly near the pore entrances. As a result, the occupancy curves using the value $\Delta H=4.2\ \text{Å}$ (Fig. 4 of supplementary material$^{27}$) show greater selectivity with a much less pronounced minimum (Fig. 9, open circles). The minimum in the $[\text{CaCl}_2]$ vs $\epsilon$ curve indicates that Ca$^{2+}$ affinity can be adjusted to a maximal value under these conditions, but the generality of this effect of dielectric coefficient needs to be studied further.

The presence of the dielectric boundary thus has marked effects on the amounts of Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ accumulated in the pore as well as the net ionic charge accumulated in the pore [$Q_{\text{net}}$, see Fig. 10(a)]. Because the induced charge attracts cations into the filter, we also plot the net induced charge $h_{\text{net}}$ on the wall of the cylindrical pore region ($|\epsilon| \approx 5\ \text{Å}$) in Fig. 10(b). A small dielectric coefficient forces the ionic balance in the pore toward local electroneutrality [Fig. 10(a)] as more counterions are attracted (see also Fig. 8). Nevertheless, local electroneutrality is never achieved, even when the dielectric coefficient approaches $\epsilon=2$. The induced charge $h_{\text{net}}$ is always negative [Fig. 10(b)], as is the net ionic charge in the pore $Q_{\text{net}}$ [Fig. 10(a)].

The induced charge is surprisingly small, given the magnitude of $Q_{\text{net}}$ in the pore. To illustrate this, consider any closed surface that includes the net charge $Q_{\text{net}}$. If the dielectric coefficient of the inside is 80 and the dielectric coefficient of the outside is $\epsilon$, then the total charge induced on the closed surface is $h_{\text{net}}=Q_{\text{net}}/(1/\epsilon - 1/80)$ [plotted in Fig. 10(b) as dotted lines].$^{61}$ The small size of the induced charge $h_{\text{net}}$ in the pore geometry compared to $h_{\text{net}}$ indicates that the electric field from the net charge in the pore is largely restricted to the aqueous region of the system and does not cross the pore wall. The net ionic charge is screened by interactions occurring through the mouths of the pore. Indeed, a cloud of chiefly Na$^+$ cations dwells just outside the narrow part of the pore and forms a double layer with the net charge in the pore (Fig. 5). This nonlocal neutralization of the ionic net charge appears to be sufficient to almost abolish the electric field reaching from the pore into the weak dielectric of the protein, and hence to almost abolish the induction of
charge at that boundary. The distribution of the induced charge is shown in Fig. 11. The polarity of the induced charge indicates that the electric field crossing the boundary reverses polarity in the vestibular region near the pore as required by Gauss’s law that the total induced charge on the protein surface is zero (see the sum rule discussed in Sec. III B 3).

Although the induced charge itself is small, the presence of the boundary with a weaker dielectric has a profound effect: the number of cationic counterions attracted into the pore substantially increases as the dielectric coefficient of the protein is reduced (Fig. 7). The local balance of ionic charge in the pore is thereby improved, and the field spreading from the pore into the protein is reduced. The small magnitude of the induced charge is the result of a strong negative feedback: the electrostatic energy of the system would substantially increase if the ions in the pore created a significant electrical field across the weak dielectric of the protein.

The absorption of more ions into the pore is of potential physiological importance, because it allows the pore to use excluded volume to distinguish ions by size and thus to develop a selectivity for cations with larger valence or smaller size (CSC mechanism\(^{16–18,20,21}\)). Biological Ca channels have this kind of selectivity. Our simulations have used a pore model of rather large radius (4.5 Å). More narrow pore geometries are possible and might yield stronger selectivity. Simulations exploring this possibility will be presented in a later paper.

The analysis here uses a low-resolution representation of the dielectric properties of the protein and ionic solution. But it is important to remember that the physical phenomena described this way actually occur and must be visible and accurately computable if higher resolution simulations are to be more reliable than reduced models. In particular, induced charge will appear at any boundary separating materials in which electric fields move matter differently. High-resolution simulations must resolve such effects and compute them with calibrated accuracy as they extend and eventually replace the low-resolution methods used here.

V. SUMMARY

We describe a method to include dielectrics of complex geometry into MC simulations of charged systems. The accuracy of the method is verified, and the method is shown to be efficient for calculating the system’s electrostatic energy in every step of a simulation. The method is used to simulate a reduced model of ionic selectivity in biological Ca channels.

Early theoretical studies of channels found that ions cannot cross an ion channel if the protein has a low dielectric coefficient because the ions induce repulsive surface charges on the dielectric interface.\(^{31–35}\) Here we find that the same physics can increase the absorption of cations into the pore (compared to having no dielectric interfaces) if the channel pore contains negative charges from the protein; with a low protein dielectric coefficient, the negative protein charges induce negative surface charges on the dielectric interface that attract cations. Moreover, Ca\(^{2+}\) is preferentially absorbed over Na\(^{+}\), indicating the possibility that Ca channels use their dielectric properties to regulate their affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\).
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Parametrization of the surface

Two-dimensional surfaces such as those used in this work can be parameterized using two variables \(t\) and \(\phi\). The transforms that converts these variables into Cartesian coordinates are

\[
x = X(t, \phi) \quad y = Y(t, \phi) \quad z = Z(t, \phi)
\]

and the corresponding area element is

\[
A(t, \phi) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial(X,Y)}{\partial(t,\phi)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(Y,Z)}{\partial(t,\phi)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(Z,X)}{\partial(t,\phi)}\right)^2}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial(X,Y)}{\partial(t,\phi)} = \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial X}{\partial \phi} \\
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

The (outward) unit normal vector is

\[
n(t, \phi) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial X}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \phi}
\end{vmatrix}}{|\begin{vmatrix}
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} \\
\frac{\partial X}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \phi}
\end{vmatrix}|}
\]

If a portion of the surface is defined by the \(X, Y, Z\) functions over intervals \([t_1, t_2]\) and \([\phi_1, \phi_2]\), then these intervals are divided into \(N_t\) and \(N_\phi\) subintervals \([\Delta t_i]\) and \([\Delta \phi_j]\). The discretization of the \([t_1, t_2]\) and \([\phi_1, \phi_2]\) intervals does not have to be uniform; we can define smaller tiles in regions where we know that electric fields and/or the concentration of source charges is higher. For example, in the selectivity filter we use a fine grid with tile width about 1 Å, while far from the filter, at the outer surface of the protein we use tiles as large as 5 Å.

The center of area of tile \((i, j)\) is defined as

\[
\bar{t}_i = \frac{\int_{[i,j]} tA(t, \phi)dt d\phi}{\int_{[i,j]} A(t, \phi)dt d\phi}
\]

and similarly for \(\bar{\phi}_j\). The integrals are taken over the given tile. The integral of a vector field \(\mathbf{V}\) analogous to that in the matrix then can be given as

\[
\int \mathbf{V}(s - s^*) \cdot \mathbf{n}(s) \, ds^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\phi} \mathbf{V}(t, \phi, \bar{t}_i^*, \bar{\phi}_j^*) \cdot \mathbf{n}(t, \phi) A(\bar{t}_i^*, \bar{\phi}_j^*) \Delta t_i^* \Delta \phi_j^*
\]

* Author of correspondence, E-mail: dezso_boda@rush.edu
where vector $\mathbf{s}$ is parametrized by the parameters $t$ and $\phi$, while $\bar{t}_i^*$ and $\bar{\phi}_j^*$ are the centers of the tiles over which the double sum runs. The above description does not specify what is the surface over which the integral is taken. It can be a tile of the whole protein; in that case the above discretization is really a subdiscretization. The meaning of the variables can be different in various portions of the surface depending on its symmetry. For example, the model shown in Fig. 1 has a rotational symmetry: the surface of the protein is obtained as a surface of revolution about the $z$-axis. The formulas then can be simplified as

$$x = R(t) \cos \phi \quad y = R(t) \sin \phi \quad z = z(t)$$

(7)

$$A(t, \phi) = R(t) \sqrt{(z'(t))^2 + (R'(t))^2}$$

(8)

and

$$\mathbf{n}(t, \phi) = \frac{(z'(t) \cos \phi, z'(t) \sin \phi, -R'(t))}{\sqrt{(z'(t))^2 + (R'(t))^2}}$$

(9)

The primes in the last two equations denote differentiation with respect to $t$. In the case of the protein shown in Fig. 1, variable $\phi$ is the rotational angle, while variable $t$ is defined differently in the various regions of the surface: (1) in the case of the cylinders (such as that confining the filter) $z = t$ and $R = \text{const.}$, (2) on the flat walls $z = \text{const.}$ and $R = t$, while (3) at the rounded corners $t$ can be defined as an angle.
Supplementary Figure 1 The dielectric constant of the membrane was 80 in our simulations to save computer time by avoiding the appearance of induced charge on the membrane-solution boundaries. Because the dielectric constant of the membrane is lower than that of the solution, we performed an additional simulation for a given state point with the protein extending up to the outer wall of the simulation cell. This geometry corresponds to a situation when the dielectric constant of the membrane is the same as that of the protein. The figure shows the density profiles obtained for these two geometries. The only significant difference between the two situations arise just outside the channel at the walls of the membrane. In the case of $R = 60 \, \text{Å}$ when the low dielectric domain is extended up to the cell boundary, the density profiles decline more approaching the membrane due to the additional repulsive charges induced on the now larger dielectric boundaries. Nonetheless, this region is in between the filter and the bath, the two regions are interest, whose interaction and exchange of ions determines selectivity. The ionic profiles in these regimes are unchanged. Therefore, the assumption of assigning 80 dielectric constant to the membrane does not alter our conclusions regarding selectivity.
Supplementary Figure 2 The longitudinal density profiles of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Na}^+ \) ions for varying resolution of the grid. The number of tiles 540, 690, and 1462 correspond to \( \Delta x = 1.43, 1.25, \) and 0.84 Å, respectively, where \( \Delta x \) is the width of the tiles in the filter. The simulations were performed for \( \epsilon = 10 \) and \( [\text{CaCl}_2] = 8.6 \times 10^{-5} \) M. The inset shows the distribution of the induced charge density along a path starting in the middle of the filter (point A) and ending in the middle of the outer bounding cylinder (point B). The surface charge is averaged over the angle of revolution and the two symmetric sides of the protein. The induced charge profile is the same for the two better resolutions, while it shows some deviation for \( N_{\text{tiles}} = 540 \). The influence of the errors in \( h(r) \) on the ionic density profiles is negligible within the statistical noise and even the smallest number of tiles (540) gives accurate profiles.
Supplementary Figure 3 The density profiles of the various ions for different system sizes (number of sodium ions).
Supplementary Figure 4 The average numbers of Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) ions with centers in specified regions of the cylindrical pore (\(|z| \leq \Delta H/2\)) versus the logarithm of CaCl\(_2\) concentration in the bath; the bath concentration of NaCl is maintained at 0.1 M. Various insets of the figure refer to various dielectric coefficients of the protein. The symbols with error bars are simulation results; the curves are fits to aid the eye; the fit included a point obtained in the absence of CaCl\(_2\) (not shown). Solid and dashed lines refer to integration over the whole pore (\(\Delta H = 10\) Å) and the central pore (\(\Delta H = 4.2\) Å), respectively. Circles and squares denote Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^+\), respectively.