

PNP

What is in a Name?

Robert S. Eisenberg

Department of Applied Mathematics
Illinois Institute of Technology

Department of Physiology and Biophysics
Rush University Medical Center
Chicago IL

Bob.Eisenberg@gmail.com

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.13573.50408
on ResearchGate

On engrXiv

<https://engrxiv.org/2739d/>

DOI: 10.31224/osf.io/2739d

August 3, 2019

File: VERSION 1 engrXiv PNP what is in a name July 29-1 2019.docx

Abstract and Summary

The name **PNP** was introduced by Eisenberg and Chen because it has important physical meaning beyond being the first letters of **Poisson-Nernst-Planck**. **PNP** also means **Positive-Negative-Positive**, the signs of majority current carriers in different regions of a **PNP** bipolar transistor. **PNP** transistors are two diodes in series **PN + NP** that rectify by changing the shape of the electric field. Transistors can function as quite different types of nonlinear devices by changing the shape of the electric field. Those realities motivated Eisenberg and Chen to introduce the name **PNP**.

The pun “**PNP = Poisson-Nernst-Planck = Positive-Negative-Positive**” has physical content. It suggests that **Poisson-Nernst-Planck** systems like open ionic channels should not be assumed to have constant electric fields. The electric field should be studied and computed because its change of shape is likely to be important in the function of biological systems, as it is in semiconductor systems.

PNP is a shortened name for “**P**ositive-**N**egative-**P**ositive” or “**P**oisson-**N**ernst-**P**lanck equation”. It was not meant to be just an abbreviation: names are important, beyond their logical meaning, as the world shows us everyday. The name **PNP** is no exception. The name was chosen to help understand the system it describes.

PNP was a pun introduced at the 1993 Biophysical Society (USA) meeting [1] by Eisenberg and Chen [2, 3] to emphasize the analogy between open ion channels and semiconductor devices. The **P**oisson equation is a version of Maxwell’s first equation [4-7] that describes how charge creates electrical forces and thus electrical potential. The **N**ernst-**P**lanck equation [8-27] describes how electrical charges migrate (in the gradient of electric fields) and diffuse (in the gradient of concentration fields).¹ The combination **PNP** is often called the drift diffusion equation in the semiconductor literature [8, 11, 12, 14-17, 20]

PNP meaning “**P**ositive-**N**egative-**P**ositive” describes the spatial distribution of mobile charge produced (mostly) by the spatial distribution of doping in a semiconductor device, a bipolar transistor. Doping is a name for the ionizable impurities (dopants) introduced into pure semiconductors to create the quasiparticles holes **P** and electrons **N**. When dopants ionize, they leave behind a permanent charge (negative or positive) in a fixed spatial distribution, much like the permanent charge of ionized weak acids and bases. The ionized acid and base side chains of proteins, like glutamates **E** or lysines **K**, are one kind of the permanent charge of proteins.

Holes and electrons diffuse and migrate according to the **PNP** equations [8, 10, 28]. Note that the ‘electrons’ [29] of semiconductors are not the electrons [30, 31] discovered by JJ Thomson or the electrons found in atoms [32]. The ‘electrons’ of semiconductors are quasiparticles defined by properties of the conduction bands of semiconductors [17, 28, 33].

Eisenberg and Chen chose the name **PNP** to emphasize the analogy between doping of semiconductors and the permanent charge of channels, or ion exchange membranes [9, 20, 24, 25, 34-67].

Eisenberg and Chen were thinking of semiconductor devices because transistors and **PNP** equations have a wide range of nonlinear behavior. For example, **PNP** equations can describe an amplifier, limiter, multiplier, exponentiator, or logarithmic

¹ Another paper is needed to describe the utility and evident limitations of **PNP**, as well as its antecedents and present uses.

converter depending on the range of voltages applied to the transistor through boundary conditions.

Eisenberg and Chen wondered if nonlinear devices, well described by the **PNP** equations in semiconductors, might also exist in biological systems, particularly protein channels and transporters [68]. These ideas were spelled out in an Abstract [69] presented at the Society of General Physiology Meeting 1992: “Exchange Diffusion, Single Filing, and Gating in Macroscopic Channels of One Conformation”.

Nonlinearities of proteins were particularly interesting because they were thought to be the ‘secret of life’ by many physicists coming to biology soon after World War 2 [70-74]—at the same time that Shockley invented the transistors [75, 76]. Transistors create the complex nonlinearities of semiconductor devices.

We now know that the nonlinearities of biology exist on many scales [77]. Some of those nonlinearities arise on the cellular scale of neurons and dendrites [78, 79]. Some arise on the molecular scale of proteins. Some arise on the even smaller atomic scale of the open ionic channel [80, 81]. Eisenberg and Chen wondered which of the nonlinearities of channels and transporters might come from **PNP** equations like those describing semiconductor devices [69].

Most biologists sought other explanations for the nonlinearities of life. I hasten to add that those biologists seem to be right. Eisenberg and Chen’s hope that nonlinear biological devices—e.g., channels or transporters—would emerge as analogs of transistor devices, described by **PNP** equations, has not been fulfilled, as far as I know, probably, I suspect, because the third terminal of transistors—so important to the history of technology and to human life as the source of amplification—has not yet been found (or recognized) in channels or transporters. Transistors connected as two terminal devices provide properties that have not been considered by biologists, as far as I know.

Eisenberg and Chen knew of the bipolar transistor **PNP** (along with its fraternal twin **NPN**) because bipolar transistors were the dominant form of solid state device, analog or digital, for much of their lives. Engineers today live quite a different life. Engineers today focus on digital technologies (usually **CMOS** and its cousins) and so knowledge of bipolar transistors is not widespread.²

The bipolar transistor [82] is made of two semiconductor diode rectifiers [83] **PN** and **NP** in series. Crystal rectifiers much like these were used in the early history of

² It seemed wise to write this paper before the knowledge of bipolar **PNP** transistors and analog circuitry disappears altogether.

radio broadcasting (around 1920) and remained of great interest to hobbyists for many years, including the young Eisenberg and his father. Crystal radios seem to “run on nothing”, using only the energy gathered by the antenna system (paraphrase of [84]). They demonstrate in a most practical way that the electric field of radio waves exists and has enough energy to power a (tiny) loudspeaker. Anyone who builds a crystal radio is likely to be entranced forever by the electric field and its propagation of power through empty space [85, 86]. Eisenberg was no exception.

PNP transistors and **PN** diodes are rectifiers that detect asymmetrical signals in radio waves. They ‘make current flow the right way’—that is, they make current flow in one direction as Edison thought it should in the war between Edison’s **DC** and Tesla’s **AC** systems of electrical power [87]. The resistance of rectifiers depends on the direction of current flow because the shape of the electric fields at the **PN** or **NP** junctions depends on the direction of current flow.

Rectifiers exist in biological membranes and so it was natural to analyze them the way crystal rectifiers were analyzed. One of the early papers on the crystal rectifier [88] served as the template for the constant field **GHK** theory of Goldman [89] and Hodgkin and Katz [90] of rectification in membranes.

The **GHK** theory has been used extensively in electrophysiology to describe the electric field in the proteins that make ionic channels [91-93], not just the electric field in membranes, although the theory contains no description of the structure or charge of the channel protein that determine most channel properties. It is unfortunate that such a widely used theory assumes a constant shape of the electric field independent of the structure, charge, concentration, or membrane potential associated with the channel protein. It is even more unfortunate that it computes a current or reversal potential independent of the structure or charge of the channel protein.

A central aspect of **PNP** physics is rectification. Rectification depends on the shape of the electric field. Rectifiers function by changing the shape of the field and so the shape of the field needs to be computed [94-98], not assumed. In fact, assuming the shape of the field will prevent understanding of how the device works.

This point was emphasized by Eisenberg in a series of reviews [80, 94, 99-103] because he felt the role of the electric field in biological systems (and chemical reactions) could not be understood if the field was assumed constant. Properties of biological systems or chemical reactions that arise from changes in the shape of the field cannot be robustly described in theories that assume a constant field. Constant field theories would not be transferable. Theories would have to change parameters as they tried to mimic the consequences of a changing field.

Transferrable theories (that use the same set of parameters in different conditions) are needed to create stable understanding or robust devices, in my view.

It should clearly be understood that the shape of an electric field varies as experimental conditions are changed (as they are changed in almost all experiments). Voltage clamp apparatus maintains voltages only at one specific location. To maintain the shape of an electric field, voltage clamp must be applied at many locations (within an ionic channel or membrane) because the only way to maintain a potential, as conditions are changed, is to supply charge from an external device like a voltage clamp amplifier. That charge must come through ‘wires’ from an external source, because ion channels—like most proteins—are in themselves isolated devices, unable to create charge. These issues are discussed at embarrassing length in the reviews [80, 94, 99-103].

Isolated proteins need to be described as spatial distributions of permanent charge (to a first approximation) just as **PNP** transistors are described as distributions of doping (to a first approximation) and for the same reason. Their materials provide a permanent charge (as a first approximation) that arises from their chemical nature [104]. The second approximation is provided by the field dependent induced polarization charge of the dielectric. Further approximations require a fuller description of polarization [4, 86, 105].

The boundary condition describing the potential in isolated proteins like channels is an inhomogeneous Neumann condition defining the (normal) spatial derivative of the potential, that is to say, defining the permanent charge, not the potential itself, to a first approximation (Appendix eq. A25 of [104]). The chemical nature and structure of the amino acids and proteins determine the permanent charge and thus the inhomogeneous Neumann condition on the potential.

Proteins are almost always isolated from the outside world except at boundaries like baths connected to the outside world by the apparatus of electrochemical cells, i.e., 3M KCl bridges, AgAgCl electrodes, and amplifiers [22, 106]. Proteins have one unchanging spatial distribution of charge as conditions change (neglecting the second order effect of dielectric properties). Proteins cannot be described by a single field of potential as conditions change because the electric field changes. It is the permanent charge of the protein that does not change as conditions change.

Experiments maintaining a constant field in vacuum (i.e., one spatial distribution of potential) have been done. They are difficult to perform even in a **SQUID** (superconducting quantum interference device) [107].

Acknowledgement

PNP is just a name, no matter what it stands for. The science of the drift and diffusion of charge carriers, computed with a combination of electrostatics (**P**oisson) and drift-diffusion (i.e., **N**ernst **P**lanck), is very much more than just a name. The community of scholars who have developed that science over more than a century deserve all the credit for what it has brought us, most remarkably, and unforeseen by almost all.

We have an information and audiovisual technology available easily to billions of people that allows human interactions, evolved in a village and tribal setting I suspect, to involve most of the human race in hours or even minutes. That technology is possible only because of the solid state semiconductor devices well described by the **PNP** equations in their various forms with physical or effective parameters.

It is a joy to thank that community of scholars, in general, and Karl Hess and Dave Ferry, in particular for all they and their community have done for me and all of us. It is sad that I could not include more discussion of the wonderful literature of semiconductors. But that would have over-burdened this essay which is, after all, more an historical confectionary, than a comprehensive review.

References

1. Eisenberg, R. 1993. From Structure to Permeation in Open Ionic Channels. *Biophysical Journal* 64:A22.
2. Eisenberg, R., and D. Chen. 1993. Poisson-Nernst-Planck (*PNP*) theory of an open ionic channel. *Biophysical Journal* 64:A22.
3. Chen, D. P., and R. S. Eisenberg. 1993. Poisson-Nernst-Planck (*PNP*) theory of open ionic channels. *Biophys. J.* 64:A22. *Biophys. J.* 64:A22.
4. Eisenberg, R. S. 2019. Kirchhoff's Law can be Exact. arXiv preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.13574>.
5. Lorrain, P., and D. Corson. 1970. *Electromagnetic fields and waves, Second Edition*. Freeman.
6. Robinson, F. N. H. 1973. *Macroscopic electromagnetism*. Pergamon.
7. Zangwill, A. 2013. *Modern Electrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
8. Van Roosbroeck, W. 1950. Theory of flow of electrons and holes in germanium and other semiconductors. *Bell System Technical Journal* 29:560-607.
9. Macdonald, J. R. 1953. Theory of ac Space-Charge Polarization Effects in Photoconductors, Semiconductors, and Electrolytes. *Physical Review* 92:4-17.
10. Blotekjaer, K. 1970. Transport equations for electrons in two-valley semiconductors. *Electron Devices, IEEE Transactions on* 17:38-47.
11. Selberherr, S. 1984. *Analysis and Simulation of Semiconductor Devices*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
12. Markowich, P. A., C. A. Ringhofer, and C. Schmeiser. 1990. *Semiconductor Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
13. Jerome, J. W. 1995. *Analysis of Charge Transport. Mathematical Theory and Approximation of Semiconductor Models*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
14. Ferry, D. K. 2000. *Semiconductor Transport*. Taylor and Francis, New York.
15. Hess, K. 2000. *Advanced Theory of Semiconductor Devices*. IEEE Press, New York.
16. Lundstrom, M. 2000. *Fundamentals of Carrier Transport*. Addison-Wesley, NY.

17. Vasileska, D., S. M. Goodnick, and G. Klimeck. 2010. *Computational Electronics: Semiclassical and Quantum Device Modeling and Simulation*. CRC Press, New York.
18. Bockris, J., and A. Reddy. 1970. *Modern Electrochemistry*. Plenum Press, New York.
19. Bockris, J. O. M., and A. K. N. Reddy. 1988. *Modern Electrochemistry. Ionics*. Plenum, New York.
20. Rubinstein, I. 1990. *Electro-diffusion of ions*. SIAM, Philadelphia.
21. Barthel, J., H. Krienke, and W. Kunz. 1998. *Physical Chemistry of Electrolyte Solutions: Modern Aspects*. Springer, New York.
22. Laidler, K. J., J. H. Meiser, and B. C. Sanctuary. 2003. *Physical Chemistry*. BrooksCole, Belmont CA.
23. Newman, J., and K. E. Thomas-Alyea. 2004. *Electrochemical Systems*. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
24. Helfferich, F. 1962. *Ion Exchange (1995 reprint)*. McGraw Hill reprinted by Dover, New York.
25. Buck, R. P. 1984. Kinetics of bulk and interfacial ionic motion: microscopic bases and limits for the nernst—planck equation applied to membrane systems. *Journal of Membrane Science* 17:1-62.
26. Szyszkiewicz, K., M. Danielewski, J. Fausek, J. Jasielec, W. Kucza, A. Lewenstam, T. Sokalski, and R. Filipek. 2014. Breakthrough in Modeling of Electrodiffusion Processes: Continuation and Extensions of the Classical Work of Richard Buck. *ECS Transactions* 61:21-30.
27. Fawcett, W. R. 2004. *Liquids, Solutions, and Interfaces: From Classical Macroscopic Descriptions to Modern Microscopic Details*. Oxford University Press, New York.
28. Lundstrom, M. 2017. *Fundamentals of Nanotransistors*. World Scientific Publishing Company.
29. Ziman, J. M. 1960. *Electrons and Phonons. The Theory of Transport Phenomena in Solids*. Oxford University Press, New York.
30. Navarro, J. 2012. *A History of the Electron: J. J. and G. P. Thomson*. Cambridge University Press.
31. Springford, M. 1997. *Electron: A Centenary Volume*. Cambridge University Press.

32. Yang, F., and J. H. Hamilton. 2010. *Modern Atomic and Nuclear Physics: Revised*. World Scientific Publishing Company.
33. Kittel, C. 2004. *Solid-State Physics, Eighth Edition*. Wiley, New York.
34. Meyer, K. H., and J. F. Sievers. 1936. La perméabilité des membranes I. Théorie de la perméabilité ionique. *Helvetica Chimica Acta* 19:649-664.
35. Tanaka, Y. 2007. Chapter 4 Theory of Teorell, Meyer and Sievers (TMS Theory). In *Membrane Science and Technology*. Y. Tanaka, editor. Elsevier. 59-66.
36. Teorell, T. 1935. An attempt to formulate a quantitative theory of membrane permeability. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology* 33:282-385.
37. Teorell, T. 1953. Transport processes and electrical phenomena in ionic membrane. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 3:305-369.
38. de Levie, R., and H. Moreira. 1972. Transport of ions of one kind through thin membranes. *Journal of Membrane Biology* 9:241-260.
39. De Levie, R., N. G. Seidah, and H. Moreira. 1972. Transport of ions of one kind through thin membranes. II. Nonequilibrium steady-state behavior. *The Journal of membrane biology* 10:171-192.
40. Simons, R. 1972. The steady and non-steady state properties of bipolar membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* 274:1-14.
41. Coster, H. G. L. 1973. The Double Fixed Charge Membrane. *Biophysical Journal* 13:133-142.
42. de Levie, R., and N. G. Seidah. 1974. Transport of ions of one kind through thin membranes. 3. Current-voltage curves for membrane-soluble ions. *The Journal of membrane biology* 16:1-16.
43. Levitt, D. G. 1975. General continuum analysis of transport through pores. II. Nonuniform pores. *Biophys J* 15:553-563.
44. Levitt, D. G. 1975. General continuum analysis of transport through pores. I. Proof of Onsager's reciprocity postulate for uniform pore. *Biophys J* 15:533-551.
45. French, R. 1977. Unstirred layer effects on calculations of the potential difference across an ion exchange membrane. *Biophysical journal* 18:53.
46. Levitt, D. G. 1978. Electrostatic calculations for an ion channel. I. Energy and potential profiles and interactions between ions. *Biophys. J.* 22:209-219.

47. Levitt, D. G. 1978. Electrostatic calculations for an ion channel. II. Kinetic behavior of the gramicidin A channel. *Biophys J* 22:221-248.
48. Macdonald, J. R., and D. R. Franceschetti. 1978. Theory of small-signal ac response of solids and liquids with recombining mobile charge. *The Journal of chemical physics* 68:1614-1637.
49. Cooper, K., E. Jakobsson, and P. Wolynes. 1985. The theory of ion transport through membrane channels. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 46:51-96.
50. Levitt, D. G. 1985. Strong electrolyte continuum theory solution for equilibrium profiles, diffusion limitation, and conductance in charged ion channels. *Biophys. J.* 52:575-587.
51. Jou, D., F. Ferrer-Suquet, and C. J. Perez. 1986. On the nonequilibrium chemical potential of open pores in a membrane. *The Journal of chemical physics* 85:5314-5316.
52. Levitt, D. G. 1986. Interpretation of biological ion channel flux data. Reaction rate versus continuum theory. *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem* 15:29-57.
53. Jakobsson, E., and S. W. Chiu. 1987. Stochastic theory of ion movement in channels with single-ion occupancy. Application to sodium permeation of gramicidin channels. *Biophys J* 52:33-45.
54. Levitt, D. G. 1987. Exact continuum solution for a channel that can be occupied by two ions. *Biophys. J.* 52: 455-466.
55. Cooper, K. E., P. Y. Gates, and R. S. Eisenberg. 1988. Surmounting barriers in ionic channels. *Quarterly Review of Biophysics* 21: 331-364.
56. Cooper, K. E., P. Y. Gates, and R. S. Eisenberg. 1988. Diffusion theory and discrete rate constants in ion permeation. *J. Membr. Biol.* 109:95-105.
57. Jakobsson, E., and S. W. Chiu. 1988. Application of Brownian motion theory to the analysis of membrane channel ionic trajectories calculated by molecular dynamics. *Biophys J* 54:751-756.
58. Chiu, S. W., and E. Jakobsson. 1989. Stochastic theory of singly occupied ion channels. II. Effects of access resistance and potential gradients extending into the bath. *Biophys. J.* 55:147-157.
59. Gates, P., K. Cooper, J. Rae, and R. Eisenberg. 1989. Predictions of diffusion models for one-ion membrane channels. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 53:153-196.

60. Levitt, D. G. 1989. Continuum model of voltage-dependent gating. Macroscopic conductance, gating current, and single-channel behavior. *Biophys J* 55:489-498.
61. Levitt, D. 1991. General Continuum theory for a multiion channel. Application for a multiion channel. *Biophysical Journal* 59:278-288.
62. Levitt, D. 1991. General Continuum theory for a multiion channel. *Biophysical Journal* 59:271-277.
63. Chiu, S. W., J. A. Novotny, and E. Jakobsson. 1993. The nature of ion and water barrier crossings in a simulated ion channel. *Biophys J* 64:98-109.
64. Mauro, A. 1961. Anomalous Impedance, A Phenomenological Property of Time-Variant Resistance: An Analytic Review. *Biophysical Journal* 1:353-372.
65. Mauro, A. 1962. Space Charge Regions in Fixed Charge Membranes and the Associated Property of Capacitance. *Biophysical Journal* 2:179-198.
66. Finkelstein, A., and A. Mauro. 1963. Equivalent Circuits as Related to Ionic Systems. *Biophysical Journal* 3:215-237.
67. Mauro, A. 1966. The electrical conductance of semipermeable membranes. *Biophysical Journal* 6:371.
68. Eisenberg, R. S. 1990. Channels as enzymes: Oxymoron and Tautology. *Journal of Membrane Biology* 115:1–12. Available on arXiv as <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2363>.
69. Chen, D., and R. Eisenberg. 1992. Exchange diffusion, single filing, and gating in macroscopic channels of one conformation. *Journal of General Physiology* 100:9a.
70. Schrödinger, E. 1992. *What Is Life?* Cambridge University Press, New York.
71. Delbrück, M. 1949. *A Physicist Looks at Biology*. Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences.
72. Luria, S. 1974. What can biologists solve. *The New York Review of Books* 7:22-28.
73. Monod, J., and A. Wainhouse. 1997. *Chance and Necessity: An Essay on the Natural Philosophy of Modern Biology*. Penguin.
74. Jacob, F., and B. E. Spillmann. 1993. *The Logic of Life: A History of Heredity*. Princeton University Press.
75. Shockley, W. 1976. The path to the conception of the junction transistor. *Electron Devices*, *IEEE Transactions on* 23:597-620.
76. Shockley, W. 1950. *Electrons and Holes in Semiconductors to applications in transistor electronics*. van Nostrand, New York.

77. Eisenberg, B. 2018. Asking biological questions of physical systems: The device approach to emergent properties. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* 270:212-217. Preprint available on arXiv as <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.05452>.
78. Li, S., N. Liu, X.-h. Zhang, D. Zhou, and D. Cai. 2014. Bilinearity in spatiotemporal integration of synaptic inputs. *PLoS computational biology* 10:e1004014.
79. Li, S., D. Zhou, and D. Cai. 2015. Analysis of the dendritic integration of excitatory and inhibitory inputs using cable models. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* 13:565-575.
80. Eisenberg, R. S. 1996. Atomic Biology, Electrostatics and Ionic Channels. In *New Developments and Theoretical Studies of Proteins*. R. Elber, editor. World Scientific, Philadelphia. 269-357. Published in the Physics ArXiv as arXiv:0807.0715.
81. Eisenberg, B. 2008. Engineering channels: Atomic biology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105:6211-6212.
82. Neudeck, G. W. 1989. *The Bipolar Junction Transistor*. Addison-Wesley.
83. Neudeck, G. W. 1989. *The PN Junction Diode*. Addison-Wesley.
84. 2019. History of Radio. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_radio#Crystal_sets.
85. Eisenberg, B., X. Oriols, and D. Ferry. 2017. Dynamics of Current, Charge, and Mass. *Molecular Based Mathematical Biology* 5:78-115 and arXiv preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.07400>.
86. Eisenberg, R. S. 2019. Updating Maxwell with Electrons, Charge, and More Realistic Polarization. arXiv preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09695>.
87. Jonnes, J. 2004. *Empires of light: Edison, Tesla, Westinghouse, and the race to electrify the world*. Random House Trade Paperbacks.
88. Mott, N. F. 1939. The theory of crystal rectifiers. *Proc Roy Soc A* 171:27-38.
89. Goldman, D. E. 1943. Potential, impedance and rectification in membranes. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 27:37-60.
90. Hodgkin, A. L., and B. Katz. 1949. The effect of sodium ions on the electrical activity of the giant axon of the squid. *J. Physiol.* 108:37-77.
91. Hille, B. 1972. The permeability of the sodium channel to metal cations in myelinated nerve. *J Gen Physiol* 59:637-658.

92. Hille, B. 1975. Chapter 4: Ionic Selectivity of Na and K Channels of Nerve Membranes. In *Membranes: a series of advances Volume 3 Lipid Bilayers and Biological Membranes: Dynamic Properties*. G. Eisenman, editor. Marcel Dekker, New York. p. 256-323.
93. Hille, B. 2001. *Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes*. Sinauer Associates Inc., Sunderland.
94. Eisenberg, R. S. 1996. Computing the field in proteins and channels. *Journal of Membrane Biology* 150:1–25. Also available on <http://arxiv.org> as arXiv 1009.2857.
95. Valiskó, M., B. Matejczyk, Z. Ható, T. Kristóf, E. Má dai, D. Fertig, D. Gillespie, and D. Boda. 2019. Multiscale analysis of the effect of surface charge pattern on a nanopore's rectification and selectivity properties: from all-atom model to Poisson-Nernst-Planck. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.07117.
96. Matejczyk, B., M. Valisko, M. T. Wolfram, J. F. Pietschmann, and D. Boda. 2017. Multiscale modeling of a rectifying bipolar nanopore: Comparing Poisson-Nernst-Planck to Monte Carlo. *The Journal of chemical physics* 146:124125.
97. Hato, Z., M. Valisko, T. Kristof, D. Gillespie, and D. Boda. 2017. Multiscale modeling of a rectifying bipolar nanopore: explicit-water versus implicit-water simulations. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 19:17816-17826.
98. Ható, Z., D. Boda, D. Gillespie, J. Vrabec, G. Rutkai, and T. Kristóf. 2016. Simulation study of a rectifying bipolar ion channel: Detailed model versus reduced model. *Condensed Matter Physics* 19:13802.
99. Chen, D., L. Xu, A. Tripathy, G. Meissner, and R. Eisenberg. 1997. Rate Constants in Channology. *Biophys. J.* 73:1349-1354.
100. Eisenberg, B. 1998. Ionic Channels in Biological Membranes: Natural Nanotubes. *Accounts of chemical research* 31:117-125.
101. Eisenberg, B. 1998. Ionic channels in biological membranes. Electrostatic analysis of a natural nanotube. *Contemporary Physics* 39:447 - 466.
102. Eisenberg, R. S. 1999. From Structure to Function in Open Ionic Channels. *Journal of Membrane Biology* 171:1-24, available on arXiv at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.2939>.

103. Eisenberg, B. 2000. Permeation as a Diffusion Process. In *Biophysics Textbook On Line "Channels, Receptors, and Transporters"*
<http://www.biophysics.org/Portals/1/PDFs/Education/eisenberg.pdf>
<http://www.biophysics.org/AboutUs/Committees/Education/EducationalResources/BiophysicalMechanisms/tabid/546/Default.aspx> L. J. DeFelice, editor. Published in physics ArXiv as arXiv:0807.0721.
104. Chen, D. P., and R. S. Eisenberg. 1993. Charges, currents and potentials in ionic channels of one conformation. *Biophys. J* 64:1405–1421.
105. Eisenberg, R. S. 2019. Dielectric Dilemma. preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.10805>.
106. Wright, M. R. 2007. *An Introduction to Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions*. Wiley, New York.
107. Han, S., J. Lapointe, and J. E. Lukens. 1993. Thermally Activated Barrier Crossings in Superconducting Quantum Interference Devices. In *Activated Barrier Crossing: Applications in Physics, Chemistry and Biology*. G. Fleming, and P. Hänggi, editors. World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey. 241-267.