Circuits and Maxwell Equations

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.10580.31369
Revised from DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32272.93440

NITMB: April 16, 2025
"Work in Progress" Seminar Series

Thanks to Maryn Carlson for Invitation and Arrangements!

Discussion, Slides, References
Bob.Eisenberg@gmail.com

Abstract

The Maxwell Equations are Universal and Exact

and do not depend on material parameters, when written without dielectric approximation.
Universal and Exact Equtions are scary to scientists like me , trained to be skeptical

The Maxwell equations guarantee the perfect conservation of total current,
including displacement current,
in circuits and everywhere else, including mitochondria.

Current Laws can be derived by taking the divergence of the Maxwell Ampere Law
$$\mathbf{div\ curl\ B} = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{div}\ (\mu_0(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\, \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t)) = \mathbf{div}(\mu_0 \mathbf{J}_{total})$$

Total current never accumulates anywhere,
even for the shortest intervals of time.

Different currents flow in the transport chains of mitochondrial membranes:
electrons, protons, ions and displacement currents.

The magnificent enzyme
ATPsynthase
combines all the types of currents,
using Maxwell's current equation,
to power the synthesis of
ATP

Circuits are a Crucial Part of Molecular and Cell Biology of Nerve, Muscle, and Heart

CIRCUITS IN NERVE SIGNALS
Long Biological Cell





Image source



Nerve Signal Propagates Through a Circuit that carries CURRENT not Sodium Ions Na^+



All the Current that Flows in Flows Out

in Short Biological Cells







$$I_K + I_{Na} + I_{Ca} + I_{Cl} + C_m \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0$$

Electron Transport Chain in Mitochondrion is a Circuit







NEW:

Kirchhoff's Law for Total Current $J_{total} = 0$
Couples All Currents and Transporters in Mitochondria
If one current increases, others decrease

Circuits are the Most Used Application of Electricity

1) **Circuits deliver Signals in computers (2025) and in telegraphs (~1840)**
2) **Circuits deliver Power** to computers (2025) and industry (~1890)

A typical smartphone will contain > 10^9 memory circuits
Google says there are > 10^{10} smartphones

>> 10^{19} Circuits in the world

Circuits Implement the Devices of Engineering

One Dimensional Telegraph Circuits in American West around 1850



One Dimensional Telegraph and Telephone Wires Philadelphia 1890



Circuits Power Everything

as branched one dimensional systems





Circuits Power our Homes and Offices

How derive properties of circuits from the Maxwell Equations?

Circuits are taught in high school physics and university engineering to millions of students every year

Every electrician knows that current only flows in a complete circuit.

Branched One Dimensional Circuits Form Devices

Circuit Diagram of common 741 op-amp: Twenty transistors needed to make linear robust device



Dotted lines outline: current mirrors (red); differential amplifiers (blue);
class A gain stage (magenta); voltage level shifter (green); output stage (cyan).

Arithmetic Logic Unit Circuit
‘The Brain’ of Computers



Integrated Circuit

Technology as of ~2014

IBM Power8



Too many branches to see!

Engineering is About Devices

From Telegraphs to Telephones to Integrated Circuits

Device Converts an Input to an Ouput

by a simple 'law'

Devices are Useful because they are robust and transferrable with one set of parameters

Devices and Circuits do what they are supposed to do

In chemistry and biochemistry, (rate) models are often NOT transferrable
Different parameters are required in different conditions.
Parameters can NOT be predicted[s] ahead of time in most cases.

Shouldn't we make biochemistry an exact science?
ASBMB Today, 13: 36-38 (2014)
Available on arXiv as https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0243

How derive/reconcile properties of circuits/devices with the Maxwell Equations?

<u>Circuits are not mentioned</u> in the indexes of widely used textbooks of electrodynamics

Griffiths, D.J. 2017. *Introduction to Electrodynamics, Fourth Edition (Cambridge University Press).*

Jackson, J.D. 1999. *Classical Electrodynamics, Third Edition (Wiley: New York).*



Kirchhoff's Laws successfully design circuits that operate in 0.1 nsec

Circuits are taught in high school physics and university engineering to millions of students every year

Every electrician knows that current only flows in a complete circuit.
Incomplete circuits block current flow. Why?

Circuits and Kirchhoff's Laws are not mentioned in the indexes of widely used textbooks of electrodynamics

Griffiths, D.J. 2017. *Introduction to Electrodynamics, Fourth Edition (Cambridge University Press).*
Jackson, J.D. 1999. *Classical Electrodynamics, Third Edition (Wiley: New York).*



Essence of Electrodynamics

is

Maxwell's Core Equations

for the

Flows and Forces of Charge and Current in matter and space

Nearly Exact and Universal from Stars to inside atoms

Ferry, Oriols, Eisenberg
Displacement Current in Classical and Quantum Systems.
Computation (2025) 13, 45
DOI 10.3390/computation13020045

Maxwell's Core Equations

$$\mathbf{div\ E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \mathbf{div\ B} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{curl\ E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \qquad \mathbf{curl\ B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

Total Current

$\mathbf{J}$ is [number of particles that move] x [charge per particle].
It is a separately measured variable.

Maxwell Equations have error* $< 10^{-8}$ for $|\mathbf{E}| \ll$ Schwinger limit* $= 1.32 \times 10^{8}$ volts/Angstrom

$\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field, $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field
$\mathbf{J}$ is flux of charge with mass, including brief dielectric transients of **P** and **D** fields
ρ is the the charge density of all types including brief dielectric transients of the **P** and **D** fields
ε_0 is the electrical constant, the permittivity of a vacuum
μ_0 is the magnetic constant the permeability of a vacuum
Velocity of light $c = (\varepsilon_0 \mu_0)^{-0.5}$ (!!)

*from measurements of QED fine structure constant α

Advanced Photon Source Argonne National Laboratory

Error in Theory
$< 10^{-10}$

Beam $\sim 10^{10}$ **eV**
Beam length 10^{3} **m**
Tolerance $< 10^{-7}$ **m**
Beam Current 100 mA
Beam Power 10^{9} **watts**

Robert Fischetti



Source Internet



Don’t recognize Core Equations?

Polarization is too complex to define by one dielectric constant ε_r

Polarization is made part of J and ρ in the Core Equations

Physics of dielectrics is moved into **J** and ρ in the Core Equations

$$\mathbf{div\ E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \mathbf{div\ D} = \mathbf{div}\ \overbrace{\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}} = \rho_f$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{curl\ B} = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \qquad \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{curl\ B} = \tilde{\mathbf{J}} + \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

Polarization is part of J and ρ in the core equations

When nothing is known about polarization, when constitutive model is not known experimentally, it is customary and appropriate to include the dielectric constant ε_r as a single real positive constant $\varepsilon_r \geq 1$

Maxwell's Core Equations

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{curl}\ \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t \qquad \mathbf{curl}\ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t)$$

Total Current

Polarization is too diverse to define a dielectric constant ε_r
Polarization is part of J and ρ
in the core equations

Constitutive equations and models are needed to define J and ρ

EnVarA of Liu and Eisenberg provides one general approach
Journal of Chemical Physics, 133: 104104. Communications in Mathematical Sciences, 20: 1541-50.

When nothing is known about polarization,
it is customary and appropriate to approximate the
dielectric constant ε_r as a single real positive constant $\varepsilon_r \geq 1$

Documentation

Polarization is too complex/diverse
to define by one dielectric constant ε_r

Electronic Devices, Solid State: many references in

Ferry, Oriols, Eisenberg. 2025. Displacement Current in Classical and Quantum Systems. Computation 13, 45 DOI 10.3390/computation13020045

Ionic Solutions (thus life and most of chemistry): many references in

***General**:* Barsoukov, E., and J. Ross Macdonald. 2018. *Impedance spectroscopy: theory, experiment, and applications (John Wiley & Sons).*
Eisenberg, Robert S. 2019. *'Dielectric* Dilemma', *preprint* https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.10805.
Optical Properties: Parsegian, V. Adrian. 2006. *Van der Waals Forces: A Handbook for Biologists, Chemists, Engineers, and Physicists (Cambridge University Press: New York).*

Polarization $\varepsilon_0(\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t)$
is Present in the Vacuum of Empty Space

Experimental Fact

Space has Dielectric Constant $= 1$

Polarization $\varepsilon_0\, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$
is Present Everywhere

Einstein Special Relativity

Einstein, Albert. 1905. 'On the electrodynamics of moving bodies', *Annalen der Physik, 17: 50.*

Explicitly calculated and eloquently explained in chapters in

***Griffiths**, D.J. 2017. Introduction to Electrodynamics,*

***Jackson**, J.D. 1999. Classical Electrodynamics*

Polarization ε_0 of Empty Space

Light travels through the Vacuum of Space
ethereal current $\varepsilon_0 \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ flows in vacuum of space,
once thought to be filled with an 'aether'



Maxwell, 1865. Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism
Jeans, 1908. The Mathematical Theory of Electricity and Magnetism.
Whittaker, 1951. A History of the Theories of Aether & Electricity.

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = 0$$

Wave Equation

Corollary of Maxwell Equations

$c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0}$ = *velocity of light* **Experimental Fact**

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Total Current is NOT the Flow of Charge

How do we know that?

Light Exists in Vacuum

Charge and Flow of Charge are ZERO in a vacuum

Magnetic Fields Exist in Vacuum

$\varepsilon_0 \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ is the current that creates Electromagnetic Waves

LIGHT

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = 0$$

Derivation of Wave Equations is in every textbook, starting with curl curl E

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Total Current is NOT the Flow of Charge
How do we know that?

Charge and Flow of Charge are ZERO in a vacuum

$\varepsilon_0 \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ is the current that creates Electromagnetic Waves

LIGHT

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = 0$$

Derivation of Wave Equations is in every textbook, starting with curl curl E

$$\mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} = \textit{velocity of light}$$

Experimental Fact

Electromagnetic Field Equations Exist Everywhere

because of the relativistic properties of space

Einstein, Albert. 1905. 'On the electrodynamics of moving bodies', *Annalen der Physik, 17: 50.*
1934. *Essays in science, originally published as Mein Weltbild 1933*

Explicitly Calculated and Eloquently Explained *in chapters in*
***Griffiths**, D.J. 2017. Introduction to Electrodynamics,*
***Jackson**, J.D. 1999. Classical Electrodynamics*

In Vacuum

$$\mathbf{div\ E} = 0 = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \mathbf{div\ B} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{curl\ E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \qquad \mathbf{curl\ B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \underbrace{c^{-2}\,\partial \mathbf{E}/\partial \mathrm{t}}_{Ethereal\ Current}$$

c ***is velocity of light***

Ethereal Current = Displacement Current

Relativistic Property of Space NOT matter

Well known Example of a Capacitor Circuit



Vacuum current = Ethereal current = Displacement Current
All are names for the same thing $\varepsilon_0 \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$

The literature mostly uses Gauss Law or Coulomb's Law

$$\mathbf{div\ E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Source of Electric Field is charge

and the Maxwell Ampere Law

$$\mathbf{curl\ B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \underbrace{\mathrm{c}^{-2}\, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial \mathrm{t}}_{Ethereal\ Current}$$

Source of Magnetic Field is CURL

Ethereal Current = Displacement Current

Note that $\mathbf{div\ B} = \mathbf{0}$

J is [number of particles that move] x [charge per particle].
It is a separately measured variable.

Cannot answer from Gauss Law or Coulomb's Law

Charges in Circuits Cannot be Computed.

Too Many Charges!!!

Charges interact by Gauss' Law (i.e., Coulomb's equation)

Number of pairwise interactions is $\frac{1}{2}10^{10}\left(10^{10}-1\right)$.

n-body interactions from the *BBGKY* with flow are much more numerous.

1) Schuss, Nadler, Eisenberg, (2001) Derivation of PNP Equations from a Molecular Model. Phys Rev E 64: 036116 1-14

2) Nadler, Schuss, Singer, Eisenberg 2004 Ion diffusion from Langevin equations to partial differential equations. J. Physics: Cond Matter 16: S2153-S2165.

3) Schuss, Nadler, Singer, Eisenberg (2002) PDE formulation of non-equilibrium stat mech for ionic permeation, AIP Conf. Proceedings 665, *Unsolved Problems Of Noise And Fluctuations,*

Has anyone even tried?

Smallest Circuits Involve Flows of Nanoamps of Current for Seconds in Many Locations in Nanometer Structures

Nanoamp = 6.2 x 10^9 charges per second

Cannot actually use Gauss' Law/Coulomb Equation

Need to Know ALL charges at all times!!

Hopeless to derive circuit laws because all ions interact with each other!

Coarse Graining is the Answer to the Large Numbers of Charges

But Coarse Graining of Such Large Numbers is Awkward and Inaccurate

(and usually depends on parameters that can vary)

Maxwell Equations Provide an Exact Coarse Graining

because they involve the extra physics of relativity and magnetism

in the form of the Maxwell Ampere Law

$$\mathbf{curl\ B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

Kirchhoff's Current Law
Brings hope

It is NOT necessary to know all the charges!

Kirchhoff's Current law is (almost) enough

when properly generalized



Kirchhoff Current Law ... *generalized*

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = \mathbf{div}\left(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\ \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t\right) = \mathbf{0}$$

Integrated Circuits are Designed with Current Law



PHYSICS of $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}}$

Total Current is always a divergence free Solenoidal Field everywhere.
Total Current flows in loops and circuits, without sources or sinks.
Total Current is never accumulated even at time scales of thermal motion.

Mitochondrial Enzymes are Coupled by Current Law



Proof

Kirchhoff Current Law ... *generalized*

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J_{total}} = \mathbf{div}\ (\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\ \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t) = \mathbf{0}$$

Maxwell Ampere Equation $\quad \mathbf{curl}(\mathbf{B}/\mu_0) = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\ \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t = \mathbf{J_{total}}$

Math Identity $\quad \mathbf{div\ curl}\ (\mathbf{B}/\mu_0) = \mathbf{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{div}\ (\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\ \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t) = \mathbf{0}$

J is a <u>separately</u> measured variable, the flux of charges with mass, however small or transient.
J is the [number of particles that move} × [charge per particle].

PHYSICS of $\mathbf{J_{total}}$

Total Current is always a divergence free Solenoidal Field everywhere.
Total Current flows in loops and circuits,
without sources or sinks.
Total current is never accumulated even at time scales of thermal motion.

Div Curl is identically zero

for any function that is sufficiently smooth to satisfy the Maxwell equations

1) From derivative definition of div *yellow* and curl *blue*

by substitution and cancellation for vector field $\boldsymbol{F}$ with Components $(F_x; F_y; F_z)$

$$\textbf{Div curl } \boldsymbol{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y}\right) = \mathbf{0}$$

Using $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x}$; $etc.$: Schwarz/Clairaut Theorem

2) From integral definition of div and curl in a tiny volume element

Curl is a circulation integral with terms of equal magnitude but opposite sign

for any function that is sufficiently smooth to satisfy the Maxwell equations.

Divergence and flux have only one value and sign on the surfaces of the tiny element

for any function that is sufficiently smooth to satisfy the Maxwell equations

Substitution shows that the terms of the integral cancel.

Integral Derivation, illustrated

Div Curl = 0

always



Div Curl = 0

Math identity

Corollaries of Maxwell Equations

Exact Coarse Graining

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$$

This is a **Generalization of Kirchhoff's Current Law**
that Includes displacement current $\varepsilon_0\, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$
It is a mathematical consequence of the
Maxwell Ampere Law of Magnetism
that I call Maxwell's Current Law
see 'Maxwell's True Current' Computation (2024) 12(2): 22

It is Scary Because it is Universal

Without adjustable parameters

An Exact and Universal theory of Electrodynamics is a scary challenge
to scientists like me,
trained to be skeptical of sweeping claims to perfection.

Kirchhoff's Law Has been used for nearly two centuries to analyze Complex Circuits Successfully

MANY fewer currents are needed than charges!!!

With Current Law,

Large circuits are

Easily solved

with software available to every engineer like LtSpice from Analog Devices

https://www.analog.com/en/resources/design-tools-and-calculators/ltspice-simulator.html

Brocard, Gilles. 2013. *The LTspice IV simulator: manual, methods and applications (Würth Elektronik).*

Now we return to the challenge

Question:
Why does Total Current flow in complete circuits?

In a series circuit total current is equal everywhere because it has nowhere else it can go. It cannot leave the circuit.
That is what $\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$ means.

Answer: if the total current is zero in one place
in a series circuit,
it must be zero everywhere!!!

Question: Why does Current flow in complete circuits?

Answer: in a series circuit total current is equal everywhere

although microphysics is different everywhere



Total Current is a Solenoidal Field

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$$

The total current has no sources or sinks.

$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}}$ is created only by boundary conditions and dipoles of total current that themselves have zero divergence.

Total Current is a Solenoidal Field

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$$

1) Charge in solenoidal circuits flows in loops. The loops form circuits for charge movement.
2) Solenoidal flows tend to "slide past" each because of the inherent property of zero divergence:

minimal mixing

3) Circuits in electronic devices isolate the loops.

Isolated loops follow Kirchhoff's laws, generalized to include displacement current.

Solenoidal Flows Greatly Simplify Circuit Design for Devices
And so Devices work reliably in 0.1 nsec, close to the speed of light.

Light travels about 1 inch in 0.1 nsec

Interesting Question in Pure Math:
How does solenoidal nature of total current lead to generally useful circuits?

Charge in solenoidal circuits flows in loops
The loops form one dimensional branched circuits for charge movement

Branched One Dimensional Circuits in electronic devices
isolate the loops so they interact in simple ways

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0 \text{ is the derivation of Circuit Laws from the Maxwell Equations}$$



Fig. 2.1 A node with f
connected branches



Kirchhoff's Law and div $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$ become

EQUALITY of Total Current in a Series System

Well known in Electronics

"It is, after all, the **sum** of electron current and **displacement** current which has **no divergence**.
One of those two components can take over from the other."

Landauer (1992) Physica Scripta T42 p 110.

"**Electrodynamic** fields are endowed by **unique** features, including an **exquisite spatial nonlocality**"

Slight paraphrase of Lundeberg *et al* (2017)
Tuning quantum nonlocal effects plasmonics
Science 357:187-191

Flux and Total Current J_{total} have very Different Physics
in Different Systems



but

Continuity of Total Current J_{total} is Exact and Instantaneous

$$J_{total\ in\ Device\ 1} = J_{total\ in\ Device\ 2} = J_{total\ in\ Device\ 3} \cdots$$

no matter what carries the current J

at all times and all locations!

Eisenberg (2016) Mass Action and Conservation of Current.
Hungarian Journal of Industry and Chemistry Posted on arXiv.org with paper ID arXiv:1502.07251 44:1-28.

Conservation of Total Current J_{total} is Exact and Instantaneous

even though
Physics of Charge Flow
Varies Profoundly

How can that possibly be?

Electrodynamic Fields

$$\mathbf{E}, \quad \varepsilon_0 \partial \boldsymbol{E} / \partial t, \quad \mathbf{B}$$

take on the

Values that Conserve total Current $\mathbf{J}_{total}$

This is NOT mysterious

E is a force field that moves atoms

Details and PROOF
including quantum mechanics

Eisenberg, Oriols, and Ferry. 2017. Dynamics of Current, Charge, and Mass.
Molecular Based Mathematical Biology 5:78-115
and arXiv https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.07400

Ferry, Oriols, Eisenberg. 2025.
Displacement Current in Classical and Quantum Systems.
Computation 13, 45 DOI 10.3390/computation13020045

E is a force field that moves atoms

because atoms have charge



Potassium Ion Channel $K_{V_{1.2}}$

PDB: 1BL8

so total current $\mathbf{J}_{total} = \mathbf{J}(x,t) + \varepsilon_0 \, \partial E/\partial t$ is always conserved <u>instantaneously</u>

Details and PROOF including quantum mechanics

Ferry, Oriols, Eisenberg
Displacement Current in Classical and Quantum Systems.
Computation (2025) 13, 45
DOI 10.3390/computation13020045

Total Current $J_{total} = 0$ is an Enormous Simplification in Short Nerve Cells



EQUALITY of Total Current $\mathbf{J}_{total}$ is an Enormous Simplification in Devices Like Mitochondria



Molecular Machines



Oxidation Yields Electron Currents that Produce Proton Currents

1 **NADH** causes 10 protons to be pumped, yielding 2.5 ATP
1 **$FADH_2$** causes 6 protons to be pumped, yielding 1.5 ATP

(**Note:** 4 protons (H^+) pumped per 1 ATP produced)

Jack Westin

EQUALITY of Total Current $\mathbf{J}_{total}$
is
an Enormous Simplification
in Series Systems

It can create a *Perfect Low Pass Filter*
It can *Convert*
***Chaos* of Brownian Motion**
into a *Constant*

Current Noise J_{total} is Zero in Space

Current Noise
J_{total}
is
HUGE in time



One Dimensional Systems like Channels or Circuit Components

What does this mean for Mathematical Models?

The image of total current flow $\mathbf{J}_{total}$ is very different
VERY SMOOTH in space

Total Current $\mathbf{J}_{total}$ does not vary in space so

Spatial Derivatives are not needed to describe total current

in series systems or devices

Revolution in Biophysics

Total Current flow J_{total} is equal everywhere in a one dimensional channel

Thermal Motion in Space does <u>not</u> appear in equations for flow of total current J_{total} in a one dimensional channel

Thermal motion appears ONLY in time

Eisenberg (2020)
Electrodynamics Correlates Knock-on and Knock-off: Current is Spatially Uniform in Ion Channels.
Preprint on arXiv at https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.09012.

What does this mean for Ion Channels?

Knock On and Knock Off of Ions
is
IRRELEVANT for the Total Current J_{total} Through the Channel

Paradigm Change



Corry (2018) The naked truth about K^+ selectivity. Nature Chemistry 10:799-800.

Eisenberg (2020)
Electrodynamics Correlates Knock-on and Knock-off: Current is Spatially Uniform in Ion Channels.
Preprint on arXiv at

https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.09012.

View of Channels has been focused on movements of individual ions in channels,

But

Total Current J_{total} is equal everywhere in a one dimensional channel

Paradigm Change

Position does not appear in equations for total current J_{total} in a one dimensional channel

References and Proofs in

Eisenberg (2019) **Kirchhoff's Law can be Exact**. arXiv: 1905.13574

Eisenberg, Gold, Song, and Huang (2018)
What Current Flows Through a Resistor?
arXiv:1805.04814

From Maxwell Equations to Circuits to Ion Channels

Any Questions??

Slides, References
Bob.Eisenberg@gmail.com
Discussion

Extra Slides

The Electric Field is Strong

If you were standing at arm's length from someone and each of you had

***One percent* more electrons than protons,**

the force
would lift the
Entire Earth!

slight paraphrase of third paragraph, p. 1-1 of Feynman, R. P., R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands. 1963. *The Feynman: Lectures on Physics, Mainly Electromagnetism and Matter.* New York: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., also at *http://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/II_toc.html.*

Coulomb's Law in Chemical Units

MANY times larger than thermal energy

$$\mathbf{E_{coulomb} = \frac{560}{\varepsilon_r}\frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}} \quad units: k_B T \cdot N_A = \text{Thermal Energy}$$

$$E_{coulomb} = 280\frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} \quad on\ molecular\ dynamics\ time\ scale\ 10^{-15}\ sec$$

$$E_{coulomb} = 7\frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}} \quad in\ water$$

$$E \ in\ units\ of\ themal\ energy\ RT = k_B T \cdot N_A\ ;\ \ N_A = 6 \times 10^{23}$$

$$q_{i,j} \ in\ units\ of\ elementary\ charge;\ r_{ij}\ in\ Angstrom$$

$$\varepsilon_r \ is\ dielectric\ coefficient, \sim 80\ for\ pure\ water$$

Electric Field is Strong and Important

MANY times the thermal energy
MANY times larger than diffusion

Electric Field is Important

Chemistry and Biology

"… **all forces**
on atomic nuclei in a molecule
can be considered as
purely classical attractions
involving
Coulomb's law."

"The electron cloud distribution is prevented from collapsing by obeying Schrödinger's equation."

R.P. Feynman (1939)
Forces in Molecules.
Physical Review 56: 340.

Proof

Kirchhoff Current Law

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J_{total}} = \mathbf{0}$$

Maxwell Ampere Equation $\quad \mathbf{curl}\ \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\, \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t = \mathbf{J_{total}}$

Math Identity $\quad \mathbf{div\ curl}\ \mathbf{B}/\mu_0 = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{div}\ (\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0\, \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t) = \mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J_{total}}$

Total Current is always a divergence free Solenoidal Field everywhere, without sources.
Total current is never accumulated even at time scales of thermal motion.

Integrated Circuits are Designed with Current Law



Kirchhoff's Current Law

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J_{total}} = 0$$

$\mathbf{J}$ = Flux of All Charges with mass, however small or transient

$\mathbf{J}$ is [number of particles that move} x [charge per particle].
It is a separately measured variable.

Mitochondrial Enzymes are Coupled by Current Law



Abstract

When the Maxwell equations are written without a dielectric constant, they are universal and exact, for fields less than the Schwinger limit (1.3×10^{18}v/m), from inside atoms to between stars. Dielectric and polarization phenomena need then to be described by stress strain relations for charge, that show how charge redistributes when the electric field is changed, in each system of interest.

Conservation of total current (including the ethereal displacement current $\varepsilon_0 \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$) is then <u>independent of any property of matter</u> and as exact as the Maxwell equations themselves.

Total current has zero divergence and is a solenoidal field, without sources or sinks. Current flows in loops in solenoidal fields. Loops are isolated into one dimensional circuits by the layout of devices. Together, isolated loops are circuits that follow Kirchhoff current laws, generalized to include $\varepsilon_0 \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ **on all time scales.**

Spatial dependence of total current disappears in unbranched series systems: conservation of total current becomes **exact equality at all times** in a single circuit branch. If total current is zero anywhere in one branch, it is zero everywhere in that branch: current only flows in complete circuits. Hopping phenomena disappear when total current flows in one branch. Maxwell's Core Equations become a perfect (spatial) low pass filter. The infinite spatial variation of a Brownian model of thermal noise becomes the zero spatial variation of total current in one branch.

An Exact and Universal theory of Electrodynamics
is a Scary Challenge to scientists like me,
trained to be skeptical of sweeping claims to perfection.

Corollaries of Maxwell's Core Equations

Derivation of the Continuity Equation

Linking Flux and Content

$$\mathbf{curl}\ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left(\overbrace{\mathbf{J}(x,t)}^{\text{Flux of All Charges}} + \varepsilon_0\, \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t \right)$$

$$\mathbf{div\ curl}\ \mathbf{B} = 0 = \mu_0\, \mathbf{div}(\mathbf{J}(x,t) + \varepsilon_0\, \partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t)$$

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}(x,t) = -\,\varepsilon_0\, \mathbf{div}\,(\partial\mathbf{E}/\partial t) = -\,\varepsilon_0\, \boldsymbol{\partial}(\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{E})/\boldsymbol{\partial t}$$

But $\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{E} = \rho/\varepsilon_0$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J} = -\,\partial\rho/\partial t}$$

Corollaries of Maxwell's Core Equations

Continuity Equation

Linking Flux and Content

$$\mathbf{div\ J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$

Question for Students

This is not a useful equation on atomic scale

Why?

Continuity Equation

Linking Flux and Content

Feynman's Hidden Implications

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z|t)}{\partial t}$$

Oh Dear!
Often impossible

Must know all charges $\rho(x, y, z|t)$

at all times

Continuity Equation

Feynman's Hidden Implications

Must know all charges and how they move

$$\mathbf{div\ J} = -\frac{\partial \rho(x, y, z|t)}{\partial t}$$



Integrated Circuit



Potassium Ion Channel $K_{V_{1.2}}$

PDB: 1BL8



Source Internet

Hopeless, if one must

“… exhibit in every case all the charges, whatever their origin”
at all times

Section 10-4 of Feynman, Leighton, and Sands (1963) vol. 2 ***Electromagnetism and Matter***

Without Conservation of Current

Need to Know ALL charges at all times!!

Hopeless in large systems
where all ions interact with each other!

Charge and Electricity

Gauss Law

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{E} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon_0}}$$

or

Poisson Equation

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla^2}\varphi = -\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon_0}}; \quad -\nabla\varphi = E$$

or

Coulomb Law

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{560}{\varepsilon_r}\sum\frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}$$

in chemical units kT/e = RT/F

E is the electric field, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is the electrical potential; thermal energy of diffusion is kT/e = RT/F

q_i, q_j are charges r_{ij} separation of charges

ρ is the the charge density of all types including brief dielectric transients of the **P** and **D** fields

ε_0 is the electrical constant, the permittivity of a vacuum

$$For\ Vector\ Field\ \boldsymbol{F}\ with\ Component\ (F_x; F_y; F_z)$$

$$\textbf{Div curl } \boldsymbol{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z}\right); \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y}\right); \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y}\right)$$

Schwarz Theorem: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x}; etc.$

$$\textbf{Div curl } \boldsymbol{F} = \mathbf{0}$$

Div Curl = 0

always



Div Curl = 0

Math identity

EQUALITY of Total Current J_{total} is an Enormous Simplification

Eisenberg, B., N. Gold, Z. Song, and H. Huang. 2018. What Current Flows Through a Resistor? arXiv preprint https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.04814.
Eisenberg, R. S. 2019. Kirchhoff's Law can be Exact. arXiv preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.13574.

integrated circuit



Source: textbooks and internet

Seems Hopeless

Fortunately, it is not hopeless

Current flow is very smooth in spatial coordinate

Differential equation in x is not needed for $\mathbf{J}_{total}$

$$\mathbf{J}_{total} = \mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \, \partial E / \partial t$$

What does this mean for theory and simulations?

Opportunity to Simplify Algorithms and Codes

perhaps dramatically

Spatial Dependence is Already Known

Only have to average the time dependence

Ma, Li and Liu (2016). arXiv:1605.04886; Ma, Li and Liu (2016). arXiv:1606.03625.

Current flow is very smooth in spatial coordinate
Differential equation in x is not needed for $\mathbf{J}_{total} = \mathbf{J} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_0 \, \partial E / \partial t$

What does this mean for theory and simulations?

Opportunity to Simplify Algorithms and Codes

perhaps dramatically

Spatial Dependence is Already Known
Only have to average the time dependence
Ma, Li and Liu (2016). arXiv:1605.04886; Ma, Li and Liu (2016). arXiv:1606.03625.

Maxwell's Core Equations are Universal and Exact

But they are Complicated Differential Equations

need very complicated mathematics to describe universal physics

Electro 'statics'

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Magneto 'statics'

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Electrodynamics

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

Magnetodynamics

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$



Integrated Circuit



Potassium Ion Channel $K_{V_{1.2}}$

PDB: **1BL8**

or



Corollaries of Maxwell Equations

Exact Coarse Graining

$$\mathbf{div}\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{total}} = 0$$

This is a **Generalization of Kirchhoff's Current Law**
that Includes displacement current $\varepsilon_0\, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$
It is a mathematical consequence of the
Maxwell Ampere Law of Magnetism
that I call Maxwell's Current Law see 'Maxwell's True Current'
Computation (2024) 12(2): 22

It is Scary Because it is Universal

An Exact and Universal theory of Electrodynamics is a scary challenge to scientists like me, trained to be skeptical of sweeping claims to perfection.

Molecular Machines



Oxidation Yields Electron Currents that Produce Proton Currents

1 **NADH** causes 10 protons to be pumped, yielding 2.5 ATP
1 **$FADH_2$** causes 6 protons to be pumped, yielding 1.5 ATP

(**Note:** 4 protons (H^+) pumped per 1 ATP produced)

Jack Westin