Oligomerization of the voltage-gated proton channel
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The voltage-gated proton channel exists as a dimer, although each protomer has a separate conduction pathway, and when forced to exist as a monomer, most major functions are retained. However, the proton channel protomers appear to interact during gating. Proton channel dimerization is thought to result mainly from coiled-coil interaction of the intracellular C-termini. Several types of evidence are discussed that suggest that the dimer conformation may not be static, but is dynamic and can sample different orientations. Zn2+ appears to link the protomers in an orientation from which the channel(s) cannot open. A tandem WT-WT dimer exhibits signs of cooperative gating, indicating that despite the abnormal linkage, the correct orientation for opening can occur. We propose that C-terminal interaction functions mainly to tether the protomers together. Comparison of the properties of monomeric and dimeric proton channels speaks against the hypothesis that enhanced gating reflects monomer-dimer interconversion.

Introduction

The voltage-gated proton channel, H1,1, appears to exist mainly as a dimer.1-3 Although each protomer contains its own conduction pathway, the protomers comprising the dimer interact during channel opening.4-6 In our recent study of Zn2+ interaction with human H1,1, the data supported the surprising hypothesis that high affinity binding of Zn2+ occurs at the interface between monomers (or protomers) in the dimer, rather than within each individual monomer. Beyond this, several observations provided suggestive clues to the assembly and stability of the dimer and the role of the C-terminus. These observations raise questions that provide a basis for further experiments.

Results and Discussion

Protomers in the dimer are not strongly attached. Evidence that the dimer is loosely attached can be deduced from cross-linking studies. Lee et al.2 studied Cys residues at 15 different locations in the human H1,1 molecule, and observed cross-linking under several conditions. The cross-links were mainly consistent with a dimer interface occurring at the outer end of the two S1 domains, illustrated in the cartoon in Figure 1A. The proximity of the inner ends of S4 in this orientation might facilitate the C-terminal coiled-coil interaction that is thought to be the main determinant of dimerization.1,3 In contrast, in the model suggested by our Zn2+ studies (Fig. 1B), the S1 domains are far apart. However, in the Lee et al.2 study, cross-linking induced either by CuP (CuSO4 and o-phenanthroline) or by M3M (1,3-propanediyl bismethanethiosulfonate) revealed distinct cross-linking of Cys at position 194, which is inconsistent with the dimer conformation in Figure 1A. Lee et al.2 proposed that this result might indicate that proximity of these residues occurs, albeit rarely. Based on evidence from mutations involving His393 and His406 in various combinations,6 we concluded that Zn2+ can bind simultaneously to the His393 residues from both monomers, and that when Zn2+ binds at this location, the proton channel...
cannot open. This binding requires a quite different dimer interface, as shown in Figure 1B. The cross-linking at position 194 observed by Lee et al.2 appears to demonstrate that this orientation of the dimer can occur, at least occasionally. This implies that the H1,1 dimer is not tightly attached, and that the two protomers sample different orientations with finite probability. In this context, if the orientation proposed by Lee et al.2 were the one from which the channel can open, Zn2+ binding between protomers at His140 or His193 when the dimer is in the orientation shown in Figure 1B would preclude the cooperative opening transition; unbinding of Zn2+ might allow reorientation of the monomers to the position shown in Figure 1A, which would permit cooperative opening.

Two dimers of the form shown in Figure 1A might be linked together by Zn2+ binding to His140 or His193 in each one, thus forming a Zn2+-induced tetramer. Similarly, two dimers oriented as in Figure 1B might be able to interact via the S1 interface (Fig. 1A) to form tetramers (Fig. 1C), which might even extend to an oligomeric chain. Some evidence indirectly supports the general idea of proton channel clustering. Byerly and Suen7 studied proton currents in membrane patches from Lymnaea stagnalis, and found that a much smaller fraction of patches exhibited proton currents than K+ currents; the H+ currents detected were macroscopic and reflected >106 channels. This result suggests that H+ channels are not uniformly distributed in the membrane of snail neurones.

Additional evidence that the dimer is loosely attached comes from the non-reducing conditions of monomeric H1,1 protein in western blots.1,2,8,5 Koch et al.1 sometimes observed a weak dimer band for the murine proton channel, but only under non-reducing conditions, that disappeared on heat treatment, indicating that dimerization most likely does not involve covalent bonds.

Once the C-terminus tethers the dimer together, its job is done. C-terminal coiled-coil interaction is thought to maintain the proton channel in a dimeric state,1,3 although a contribution from the N-terminus was seen for the murine proton channel.1 Coiled-coils classically form from α-helices with amino acid sequences in which hydrophobic (H) and polar (P) residues are interspersed in the pattern (HPPHPPP)n (reviewed in ref. 10). Truncation of the C-terminus results in the channel expressing mainly as a monomer, which retains channel functionality.1,3 Not only do protein structural prediction programs (e.g., PredictProtein) indicate that the C-terminus ought to form coiled-coil associations, a recent crystal structure shows that isolated C-termini of the human proton channel, hH1,1, assemble as a dimer in coiled-coil formation.11 In this study, Li and colleagues also examined localization of hH1,1 when the N- or C-termini were truncated. They concluded that the C-terminus was critical for trafficking to the plasma membrane; without it, most of the channels appeared to localize in unspecified intracellular compartments. This group also noted a pH-dependent structural change of the C-terminus, which is intriguing in light of the profound effects of pH on proton channel gating.12-15

The two dimer models in Figure 1 differ markedly in the proximity of the S4 ends (the beginning of the C-termini). Are both consistent with coiled-coil interaction? Coiled-coil is predicted to extend from K221 to L262 (PredictProtein), with probability increasing from position 228 to 235. The crystal structure of the C-terminus construct exhibits coiled-coil interaction between residues 226–266.11 The dimer orientation shown in Figure 1A allows coiled-coil interaction starting within 2–3 residues after emergence from the membrane. In our model (Fig. 1B), coiled-coil interaction would necessarily begin some distance from the end of S4. Based on the distances observed in the model, the first 7 amino acids of the C-terminus could bridge the gap between S4 domains, allowing
Several observations indicate that the C-terminus is not essential to many of the characteristic capabilities of the proton channel. The C-terminus can be truncated altogether, and the resulting monomeric channels still exhibit proton selectivity and voltage- and pH-dependent gating.\(^1,3,6,17\) On the other hand, the dimer activates more slowly than the monomer\(^1,3,6\) and with a sigmoid time course, as opposed to the exponential opening time course of the monomer.\(^4,6\) There clearly are interactions between the protomers during dimer gating,\(^4-6\) but do these require specific

Figure 2. Comparison of voltage dependence and gating kinetics of WT (□), WT-WT tandem dimer (○) and H\(_v\),1ΔC (monomeric, ☆) channels expressed in HEK-293 cells. (A) Normalized \(g_v\)-V relationships are plotted for individual cells, all studied in whole-cell configuration at pH\(_7\), pH\(_6.5\) in solutions containing EGTA. Each \(g_v\) value was obtained by fitting a single rising exponential, and taking the extrapolated steady-state value, using reversal potentials measured in each solution. (B) The time constant of current activation (\(\tau_{act}\)) is plotted for the cells in (A). (C) Mean ± SEM \(g_v\)-V relationships for the 11 WT, 10 WT-WT tandem dimer and 11 H\(_v\),1ΔC expressing cells in (A), with \(* p < 0.05\) vs. WT. The slope of the \(g_v\)-V relationship in the monomeric construct (H\(_v\),1ΔC) appears to be shallower than that of WT H\(_v\),1, consistent with the report by Gonzalez et al.\(^4\) that the apparent gating charge of the monomer, determined from the limiting slope of the \(g_v\)-V relationship, was only half that of the WT dimer. (D) Mean ± SEM \(\tau_{act}\) for the same cells as (C), with \(* p < 0.05\) vs WT. It should be noted that these whole-cell measurements are subject to depletion effects (e.g., droop of the \(g_v\)-V relationship at large depolarizations); previously reported comparisons of \(\tau_{act}\) in WT vs. H\(_v\),1ΔC expressing cells\(^4\) were based on excised patch measurements to minimize these effects, and at pH\(_7\) = pH\(_{6.5}\).
indicate that the N-terminus can influence gating. First, phosphorylation of Thr\(^{29}\) induces enhanced gating\(^{18}\) (see next section). Second, a naturally-occurring mutation of human HV1, M91T, shifts the \(g_{\text{H}}-V\) relationship positively.\(^{19}\) That the N-terminus distinctly influences gating protomer, a topological constraint that might prevent extensive coiled-coil interaction. On the other hand, very little is known about the structure or flexibility of the N-terminus, or the extent to which it might interact with the channel or the C-terminus. Two bits of evidence indicate that the N-terminus can influence gating. First, phosphorylation of Thr\(^{29}\) induces enhanced gating\(^{18}\) (see next section). Second, a naturally-occurring mutation of human HV1, M91T, shifts the \(g_{\text{H}}-V\) relationship positively.\(^{19}\) That the N-terminus distinctly influences gating.

coiled-coil interactions of the C-terminus? Some evidence comes from comparison of the WT-WT tandem dimer with WT channels.

We created a WT-WT tandem dimer by linking the C-terminus of one protomer to the N-terminus of the second protomer, a topological constraint that might prevent extensive coiled-coil interaction. On the other hand, very little is known about the structure or flexibility of the N-terminus, or the extent to which it might interact with the channel or the C-terminus. Two bits of evidence indicate that the N-terminus can influence gating. First, phosphorylation of Thr\(^{29}\) induces enhanced gating\(^{18}\) (see next section). Second, a naturally-occurring mutation of human HV1, M91T, shifts the \(g_{\text{H}}-V\) relationship positively.\(^{19}\) That the N-terminus distinctly influences gating.

Figure 3. Induction of enhanced gating of proton channels in a human basophil. Families of currents in the same cell are shown before (A) and after anti-IgE (B) and PMA (C). Stimulation increases the proton current, \(i_{\text{H}}\), (D) and conductance, \(g_{\text{H}}\), (E) and accelerates channel opening, quantified as the activation time constant, \(\tau_{\text{act}}\). The time course of the \(i_{\text{H}}\) enhancement is illustrated in (G); at this slow time base, the envelope of peak currents is seen. For further discussion of this system, see ref. 30.
of the C-terminus may be to tether the two protomers together. Because S4 is thought to move substantially during gating, at least in K+ channels, but likely also in proton channels, any C-terminal interactions must still allow S4 adequate freedom of movement. Perhaps monomeric HV1 constructs that lack the C-terminus open more rapidly (Fig. 2B and D) because they are liberated from C-terminal constraints.

Dimer-monomer interconversion does not explain the enhanced gating mode. In neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, osteoclasts, monocytes and related cell lines, stimulation by a variety of agonists dramatically enhances proton currents. The full enhanced gating mode includes increased maximum proton conductance, faster activation, slower deactivation, and a 40 mV negative shift of the $g_{\text{H}^{-}}$-$V$ relationship. A qualitatively similar, but smaller response occurs in cells that lack NADPH oxidase activity, such as basophils.

In summary, the proton channel dimer appears to be loosely attached, with the ability to sample various orientations with finite probability. From multiple initial orientations, the protomers can rotate to reach a conformation from which cooperative opening can occur. Once the channel is localized in the membrane, the main function of coiled-coil interactions of the C-terminus may be to tether the two protomers together. Because S4 is thought to move substantially during gating, at least in K+ channels, but likely also in proton channels, any C-terminal interactions must still allow S4 adequate freedom of movement. Perhaps monomeric H$_{\text{V}1}$ constructs that lack the C-terminus open more rapidly (Fig. 2B and D) because they are liberated from C-terminal constraints.

Figure 4. The Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity of resting (●) and PMA-activated (■) proton currents in human basophils is similar. The mean ± SEM shift of the $g_{\text{H}^{-}}$-$V$ relationship by the indicated concentration of Zn$^{2+}$ is plotted ($n = 3–5$ for all points). These data were previously reported in ref. 30. Dashed line shows the predicted shift of $V_{\text{threshold}}$ assuming that the channels cannot open when Zn$^{2+}$ is bound, according to Model 6 from ref. 33, in which $pK_a = 6.5$, $pK_a = 8.0$ and the cooperativity factor $a = 0.01$ and $V_{\text{threshold}} = \ln(1/(1 - P_{\text{Zn}})) x 10$ mV, where $P_{\text{Zn}}$ is the probability that Zn$^{2+}$ is bound.
currents in a human basophil stimulated first with anti-IgE and then with the phorbol ester, PMA. The response to anti-IgE was variable among basophils and often weaker than the PMA response. Both agonists produced negative shifts of the proton current, $I_p$-V (Fig. 3D) and $g_p$-V relationships (Fig. 3E). Both also accelerated the turn-off of current during depolarizing pulses (Fig. 3F). The time course of the effects of anti-IgE and PMA on proton currents during test pulses can be seen in Figure 3G, as well as partial reversal of these effects by the PKC antagonist, GF109203X (GFX).

It has been speculated that interconversion between monomeric and dimeric oligomerization states (perhaps the ultimate level of dimer interface lability?) might be responsible for the “enhanced gating mode” in phagocytes.1 Although attractive, this hypothesis leads to three predictions that now appear to have been contradicted: (1) Because the monomer activates faster than the dimer (Figs. 2B and D), in this hypothesis, enhanced gating mode must reflect dimer to monomer conversion. However, when we compared the $g_{HF}$-V relationships of monomers and dimers (Fig. 2C), we find that monomeric $H_{1,1D}$C expressed in HEK-293 cells activated somewhat more positively than the dimer. The shift between dimer and monomer is not only in the wrong direction but also much less than the 40 mV shift seen in activated phagocytes. Most likely, enhanced gating is the result of phosphorylation of Thr29 in the N-terminus.18,29 The mechanism by which phosphorylation influences gating remains to be determined. (2) Because monomeric proton channels display significantly reduced Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity,6 the Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity of proton channels in activated phagocytes should decrease. But comparison of Zn$^{2+}$ effects on resting and PMA-activated proton currents in human eosinophils indicated indistinguishable sensitivity.24 Figure 4 shows the effects of Zn$^{2+}$ in human basophils, before and after stimulation by PMA, again revealing similar Zn$^{2+}$ affinity. (3) If enhanced gating reflects dimer to monomer conversion, activation kinetics should be exponential in activated cells. Instead, activation kinetics is sigmoid both in resting and activated states (e.g., Fig. 3A vs. B or C). Change in oligomerization status does not appear to be responsible for the enhanced gating mode.

### Materials and Methods

The methods used were described previously.6,30
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